

Table for a risk envelope check for fate & behaviour

Environmental aspect	Point of interest	Equal or lower risk	Argumentation
Persistence and emission to soil	Dose rate	Y/N	Equal/lower/higher
	Frequency	Y	Equal
	Fraction on soil	Y	Equal
	Time of application	Y	Equal
	Interval	Y	Equal
Remarks:			
Leaching to groundwater	Dose rate	Y	Equal
	Frequency	Y	Equal
	Fraction on soil	Y	Equal
	Time of application	Y	Similar application time
	Interval	Y	Equal
	Crop scenario	Y	Covering for the applied use(s)
	Application method	Y	Similar application method
	Restriction sentences		
Remarks			
Emission to surface water and sediment and STP	Dose rate	Y	Equal
	Emission (drift%)	Y	Equal
	Time of application	Y	Similar application time
	Interval	Y	Equal
	Frequency	Y	Equal
	Crop scenario	Y	Covering for the applied use(s)
	Application method	Y	Similar application method
	Restriction sentences		
Remarks			
Drinking water	<p>Check if the status of the active substance changed.</p> <p>New NL substance (< 3 years in NL): <i>argue that the minor use would not make a large impact on PEC. (use the text in bold). Otherwise assessment with DROPLET</i></p> <p>Old NL substance: Existing non-problematic substance: <i>See the text in the right column</i></p> <p>Existing problematic substance: <i>calculate the overall 90-percentile for</i></p>	Y/N	<p>Existing non-problematic substance:</p> <p>Substance has been on the Dutch market for > 3 years (authorised since XXX). This period is sufficiently large to consider the market share to be established.</p> <p>The now proposed extension of the use concerns a minor use with limited acreage. Therefore it is considered that this will not contribute substantially to the use of the active substance.</p> <p>From the general scientific knowledge collected by the Ctgb about the product and its active substance, the Ctgb</p>

*the drinking water
abstraction points*

concludes that there are in this case no concrete indications for concern about the consequences of this product for surface water from which drinking water is produced, when used in compliance with the directions for use. The Ctgb does under this approach expect no exceeding of the drinking water criterion.

Remarks

Groundwater and surface
water monitoring data

Groundwater monitoring data:

Mostly the following sentence will apply:

No new groundwater monitoring data regarding the substance *** are available since the original authorization of the product.

Surface water monitoring data:

If no exceedances reported in the Pesticide Atlas:

No exceedance of authorization threshold/ ad hoc MPC/ AA-EQS/ MAC-EQS reported in the Pesticide Atlas in 20**, based on *** measurements at *** locations.

If exceedances are found (of the authorization threshold): case by case.