

Van: 5.1.2.e Woo
Aan: 5.1.2.e Woo
Cc: 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo
5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
Onderwerp: RE: Journalist question in Sweden about the AGG
Datum: woensdag 27 februari 2019 15:56:37

Dear 5.1.2.e Woo,

I also agree with the proposed answer. Regarding the membership of the AGG, we are just waiting for the Swedish, hopefully positive statement 😊.

Kind regards,

5.1.2.e Woo

From: 5.1.2.e Woo @anses.fr]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 3:07 PM
To: 5.1.2.e Woo ;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo ;
Cc: 5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
Subject: RE: Journalist question in Sweden about the AGG

Dear 5.1.2.e Woo,

Thanks for sharing this very first question from the media. I fully agree with your proposed answer (and with 5.1.2.e Woo too).

Kind regards,

5.1.2.e Woo

De : 5.1.2.e Woo @ctgb.nl>
Envoyé : mercredi 27 février 2019 14:31
À : 5.1.2.e Woo @kemi.se>; 5.1.2.e Woo @anses.fr>;
5.1.2.e Woo @ctgb.nl>; 5.1.2.e Woo
@nebih.gov.hu>; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @nebih.gov.hu>; 5.1.2.e Woo
@kemi.se>
Cc : 5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @efsa.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo
@ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @kemi.se>;
5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu; 5.1.2.e Woo @ec.europa.eu;
Objet : RE: Journalist question in Sweden about the AGG

Dear 5.1.2.e Woo,

I think you are right answering in this way (by the way: Hungary and France gave their confirmation too).

Congratulations, you are the first organisation using our Q&A's 😊.

Kind regards,

5.1.2.e Woo

Ctgb

Van: 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@kemi.se]
Verzonden: woensdag 27 februari 2019 12:52
Aan: 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu];
5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@efsa.europa.eu]; 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu];
CC: 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@efsa.europa.eu]; 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu];
5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu]; 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu];
5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@efsa.europa.eu]; 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu];
5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu]; 5.1.2.e Woo [redacted] [mailto:5.1.2.e.Woo@ec.europa.eu];
Onderwerp: FYI: Journalist question in Sweden about the AGG

Dear all,

We have received a question today from a journalist on the forming of the assessment group on glyphosate.

We intend to answer according to the messages we previously have aligned (see below). Since Holland now has confirmed that Ctgb is willing to commit as a member of the group, we will exclude the phrase pointed out in red below, but will add that we at KemI not yet have taken a decision.

The journalist who is asking questions is writing for a Swedish magazine focusing on agricultural business.

Kind regards

5.1.2.e Woo

[redacted]

Swedish Chemicals Agency

Direct: +46 5.1.2.e Woo

Tel: +46 5.1.2.e Woo

www.kemikalieinspektionen.se

[How we treat your personal data](#)

- The EU Pesticides legislation requires that the approval of all active substances must be periodically reviewed, starting with a scientific assessment by a rapporteur Member State, which is followed by a peer-review process overseen by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).
- For glyphosate the assessment process will start in December 2019 so that a decision can be taken before the expiry of the current approval in December 2022.
- As no individual Member State has volunteered to carry out the assessment on its own, discussions are ongoing on forming a group of Member States acting jointly as rapporteur.
- No decisions have been taken at this point, neither by the Commission or **by the Swedish Chemicals Agency nor by any of the Member States participating in the discussions**
- There are discussions on forming a group of countries acting jointly as RMS, but no decisions have been taken at this point.
- The EC has yet to decide about the model of a group of countries acting as RMS and the national authorities have to decide about participating in the RMS-group.
- At this moment we can not give more factual communication as no decisions are taken.
- As soon as there is decide on the way the RMS will be formed and which countries take a role in it, we will communicate about the whole proposal.

- We can confirm that the Swedish Chemicals Agency is involved in discussions that Sweden may be one of the member states in an RMS group for the assessment of glyphosate. At this point however, we can't disclose which other member states are involved in the discussions.