Gesprek COM inzake glyfosaat/AGG 15/5/2019

Luuk van Duijn (^{5.12.8 Woo}Ctgb), 5.1.2.e Woo, 5.1.2.e Woo, 5.1.2.e Woo (COM)

- 1. Information to SCoPAFF: on request, COM will send the AGG-arrangements to SCoPAFF for information. Reasoning from AGG-side: transparency
- 2. Communication/secretariat: AGG will get a mailbox and telephone number as soon as possible. will be contact person if necessary.
 - a. AGG must provide with email-addresses of those who need access. Proposal: two project leaders and two backups; two contact persons for and and second a
 - b. The phone number will we be put through to one phone or two sequentially. We must provide COM with a name and number to put through (proposal for the time being: a dedicated cell phone) (ACTION SECR).
 - c. The AGG page on the COM website is completely under responsibility of AGG, with a COM disclaimer at the bottom of the page. We will get instructions how to manage the content.
- 3. Concerning the assessment:
 - a. Representation of assessment of dossier delivered by industry: all dossier items must be commented where the original text should be put in quotes.
 - b. 5.1.2.a Woo
 - c. New literature of course will be searched for in conformity of the latest standards.¹
 - d. Whether the complete dossier must be re-evaluated is to be discussed with EFSA. 5.1.2.a Woo
- 4. General food law: GFL is applicable as of 2021. COM strongly urges AGG to convince the taskforce that they should follow those rules already in this dossier. Either way: all items can be asked for disclosure, since glyphosate is on the market. This means:



- 5. Procedure:
 - a. as of the start of the AGG, communication will be between AGG/EFSA.^{5.1.2.a Woo}
 - b. It would be helpful if the classification on glyphosate would be finalized as soon as possible (no need to wait for the complete dossier) so ECHA can start its own procedure, which has an official lead time of 18 months

¹ There is a guidance on this, including about weight of evidence (CHECK)