

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY
Safety of the Food Chain
Pesticides and Biocides

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT¹

glyphosate

SANTE/10027/2016 Rev ~~3~~

~~08 March~~ 19 May 2016

Met opmerkingen ~~5.1.2.3~~ (1): Tentative date

Draft

Review report for the active substance **glyphosate**
finalised in the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed
at its meeting on ~~08 March~~ 19 May 2016

Met opmerkingen ~~5.1.2.3~~ (2): Tentative date

in view of the renewal of the approval of glyphosate as active substance in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

1. Procedure followed for the re-evaluation process

This review report has been established as a result of the evaluation of glyphosate, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009² and Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010³ following the submission of an application to renew the approval of this active substance expiring in June 2016.

Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010, as amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 380/2013⁴, lays down the procedure for the renewal of the second group of active substances in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC⁵ and includes glyphosate.

Glyphosate is a substance that was included in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, by Commission Directive 2001/99/EC⁶. Glyphosate is deemed to have been approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and is listed in Part A of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁷.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 5 of Directive 91/414/EEC, several companies notified the Commission of their wish to renew the approval of the active substance glyphosate, and of their decision to work together as a Glyphosate Task Force.

¹ Does not necessarily represent the views of the Commission

² OJ L 309, 24 11 2009, p 1

³ OJ L 322, 8 12 2010, p 10

⁴ OJ L 116, 26 4 2013, p 4

⁵ OJ L 230, 19 8 1991, p 1

⁶ OJ L 304, 21 11 2001, p 14

⁷ OJ L 153, 11 6 2011, p 1.

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

Commission Directive 2010/77/EU⁸ extended until 31 December 2015 the period of approval of glyphosate to allow the completion of its review.

Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1885⁹ extended until 30 June 2016 the period of approval of glyphosate to allow the completion of its review.

Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010 designated the rapporteur Member States and the co-rapporteur Member States which had to submit the relevant renewal assessment reports and recommendations to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

For glyphosate the rapporteur Member State was Germany and the co-rapporteur Member State was Slovakia.

Germany finalised in December 2013 its examination, in the form of a renewal assessment report. This Report was sent to the Commission and EFSA on 20 December 2013 and included a recommendation concerning the decision to be taken with regard to the renewal of the approval of glyphosate for the supported uses.

In accordance with Article 16 of Commission Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010, the Commission requested EFSA to arrange an expert consultation on the rapporteur Member State's renewal assessment report and to deliver its conclusions.

Therefore, EFSA organised a consultation of technical experts from Member States, to review the renewal assessment report and the comments received thereon (peer review).

Following the findings of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as regards the carcinogenic potential of glyphosate, the Commission mandated EFSA to review the underlying information and to include those findings in its conclusion.

IARC published the Monograph containing detailed information on its evaluation in July 2015. To allow for an appropriate evaluation of that information and the extraordinarily high number of comments received during the peer review and the public consultation, the Commission extended the deadline for the submission of the Authority's conclusion.

EFSA sent to the Commission its conclusion on the risk assessment (Conclusions regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance)¹⁰. This conclusion refers to background document A (renewal assessment report and additional report) and background document B (EFSA peer review report).

Following a request from the Commission, EFSA sent to the Commission a statement on the co-formulant polyethoxylated (POE) tallowamine¹¹ based on the toxicological evaluation of POE-tallowamine (CAS No 61791-26-2) presented by the rapporteur Member State Germany in the context of the peer review of the active substance glyphosate.

⁸ OJ L 293, 11 11 2010, p 48

⁹ OJ L 276, 21 10 2015, p 48

¹⁰ EFSA Journal 2015; 13(11): 4302 Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance glyphosate doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4302 Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

¹¹ EFSA Journal 2015; 13(11): 4303 Statement of EFSA on the request for the evaluation of the toxicological assessment of the co-formulant POE-tallowamine doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4303 Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

According to the provisions of Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010, the Commission referred a draft review report on the renewal of approval to the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed, for final examination. The draft review report on renewal of approval was finalised in the meeting of the Standing Committee on ~~08 March~~ 19 May 2016.

Met opmerkingen: (3): Tentative date

The present review report on renewal of approval contains the conclusions of the final examination by the Standing Committee. Given the importance of the conclusion of EFSA, and the comments and clarifications submitted after the conclusion of EFSA (part of background document C), these documents are also considered to be part of this review report.

2. Purposes of this review report

This review report, including the background documents and appendices hereto, has been developed and finalised in support of **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/xxx**¹² concerning the renewal of approval of glyphosate as active substance under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and to assist the Member States in decisions on individual plant protection products containing glyphosate they have to take in accordance with the provisions of that Regulation, and in particular the provisions of Article 29(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and the uniform principles laid down in Regulation (EU) No 546/2011¹³.

This review report provides also for the evaluation required under part I, Section A.2(b) of the above mentioned uniform principles, as well as under several specific sections of chapter B of these principles. In these sections it is provided that Member States, in evaluating applications and granting authorisations, shall take into account the information concerning the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 544/2011¹⁴, submitted for the purpose of (renewal of) approval of the active substances, as well as the result of the evaluation of those data.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1141/2010, this review report will be made available to the public.

The information in this review report is, at least partly, based on information which is confidential and/or protected under the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. It is therefore recommended that this review report would not be accepted to support any registration outside the context of that Regulation, e.g. in third countries, for which the applicant has not demonstrated to have regulatory access to the information on which this review report is based.

3. Overall conclusion in the context of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

The overall conclusion from the evaluation is that it may be expected that plant protection products containing glyphosate will still fulfil the safety requirements laid down in Article 4(1) to (3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. This conclusion is however subject to compliance with the particular requirements in sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 of this report, as well as to the implementation of the provisions of Article 29(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and the uniform principles laid

¹² OJL xxx, dd m yyyy, p x

¹³ OJL 155, 11 6 2011, p 127

¹⁴ OJL 155, 11 6 2011, p 1

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

down in Regulation (EU) No 546/2011, for each glyphosate containing plant protection product for which Member States will grant or review the authorisation.

Furthermore, these conclusions were reached within the framework of the uses which were proposed and supported by the applicant and mentioned in the list of uses supported by available data (attached as Appendix II to this review report).

The following reference values have been finalised as part of this evaluation:

ADI: 0.5 mg/kg bw per day,
ARfD: 0.5 mg/kg bw,
AOEL: 0.1 mg/kg bw per day.

With particular regard to residues, the review has established that the residues arising from the proposed uses, consequent on application consistent with good plant protection practice, have no harmful effects on human or animal health. The highest ~~Theoretical Maximum~~ International Estimated Daily Intake (IEDI) for all considered consumer groups is 3% of the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI), and the highest International Estimated Short-Term Intake (IESTI) is 9% of the Acute Reference Dose (ARfD), based on EFSA PRIMo Model.

Met opmerkingen (4): Factual correction based on comments of 312

Extension of the use pattern beyond those described above will require an evaluation at Member State level in order to establish whether the proposed extensions of use can satisfy the requirements of Article 29(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and of the uniform principles laid down in Regulation (EU) No 546/2011.

The review has identified acceptable exposure scenarios for operators, workers and bystanders, which require however to be confirmed for each plant protection product in accordance with the relevant sections of the above mentioned uniform principles.

The following points could not be finalised or were considered as a critical area of concern by EFSA (2015a) for glyphosate:

- Glyphosate is not classified or proposed to be classified as carcinogenic or toxic for the reproduction category 2 in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No-1272/2008 (harmonised classification supported by the present assessment) and therefore the conditions of the interim provisions of Annex II, Point 3.6.5 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 concerning human health for the consideration of endocrine disrupting properties are not met. Apical studies did not show adverse effects on the reproduction, however as an endocrine-mediated mode of action could not be ruled out. Data gaps for the full battery of Tier I screening assays according to the EDSP, or the Level 2 and 3 tests currently indicated in the OECD Conceptual Framework, are identified and the assessment could not be finalised.

Pertinent additional information has meanwhile become available but could for procedural reasons not be included in the peer review.

Confirmatory information is requested as regards the absence of endocrine disrupting properties that may cause adverse effect in humans (see section 7 below).

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

- Eight out of the 24 applicants presented specifications that were not supported by the toxicological assessment.

Member States shall ensure equivalence between the specifications of the technical material, as commercially manufactured, and those of the test material used in the toxicological studies, during renewal or first authorisation of plant protection products containing material from those sources (see section 6 below).

For the source of Industrias Afrasa S.A., it appears that two different labels have been assigned to the same batch. This prevented a final conclusion on equivalence during the renewal assessment. Equivalence of this source should be confirmed during renewal or first authorisation of plant protection products containing material from that source.

EFSA (2015b) concluded that compared to glyphosate, a significant toxicity of POE-tallowamine (CAS No 61791-26-2) was observed on all endpoints investigated. Additional concerns were highlighted as regards the potential of POE-tallowamine to negatively affect human health. It is hence appropriate to exclude POE-tallowamine (CAS No 61791-26-2) from the use in plant protection products containing glyphosate.

In its renewal assessment report, the rapporteur Member State indicated that the use of glyphosate may affect populations of non-target terrestrial arthropod and vertebrate species via trophic interactions. Taking into account that report, it is appropriate to require Member States to pay particular attention to this issue in accordance with Article 4(3)(e)(iii) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Met opmerkingen 5.1.2.3 (5): Based on comments of 5.1.2.3 and 5.1.2.3. Also oral comments of 5.1.2.3 at SC PAFF

As outlined in EFSA (2015a), the peer review recognised that the issue of toxicity of the formulations should be considered further as some genotoxicity studies on formulations presented positive results. In particular, it was considered that the genotoxic potential of formulations should be addressed.

The review has also concluded that under the proposed and supported conditions of use there are no unacceptable effects on the environment, as provided for in Article 4(3)(e) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided that certain conditions are taken into account as detailed in section 6 of this report.

[Paragraph on approval period, under development]

Met opmerkingen 5.1.2.3 (6): Based on comments of 5.1.2.3

In accordance with Article 31(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, when granting or reviewing authorisations, Member States shall set requirements relating to the placing on the market and use of plant protection products. Such requirements may include those listed in Article 31(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. It should be recalled that Member States shall take measures in accordance with Article 6 of Directive 2009/128/EC¹⁵ also with respect to the proper use of plant protection products containing glyphosate. Specific practices and uses may also be covered by targets set up in National Action Plans (Article 4 in conjunction with Article 14 of Directive 2009/128/EC).

Met opmerkingen 5.1.2.3 (7): Based on comments of 5.1.2.3 and 5.1.2.3

¹⁵ OJL 309, 24 11 2009, p. 71

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

4. Identity and Physical/chemical properties

The main identity of glyphosate is given in Appendix I.

The active substance shall have a minimum purity of 950 g/kg.

The manufacturing impurities formaldehyde and *N*-Nitroso-glyphosate are of toxicological concern and must not reach 1 g/kg and 1 mg/kg, respectively, in the active substance as manufactured.

5. Endpoints and related information

In order to facilitate Member States, in granting or reviewing authorisations, to apply adequately the provisions of Article 29(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and the uniform principles laid down in Regulation (EU) No 546/2011, the most important endpoints were identified during the re-evaluation process. These endpoints are listed in the conclusion of EFSA.

6. Particular conditions to be taken into account on short term basis by Member States in relation to the granting of authorisations of plant protection products containing Glyphosate

On the basis of the proposed and supported uses (as listed in Appendix II), the following issues have been identified as requiring particular and short term attention from all Member States, in the framework of any authorisations to be granted, varied or withdrawn, as appropriate:

- the protection of operators,
- the risk to non-target terrestrial vertebrates, ~~and~~
- ~~the risk to~~ non-target terrestrial plants,
- ~~the risk to diversity and abundance of terrestrial vertebrates and invertebrates via trophic interactions~~.

Met opmaak: Inspringing: Links: 0,63 cm, Verkeerd-om: 0,63 cm

Met opmerkingen: [3.12](#) (8): Based on comments of [3.12](#) and [3.12](#). Also oral comments of [3.12](#) at SC PAFF

Conditions of use shall include risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.

Member States shall ensure equivalence between the specifications of the technical material, as commercially manufactured, and those of the test material used in the toxicological studies.

Member States shall ensure that plant protection products containing glyphosate do not contain the co-formulant POE-tallowamine (CAS No 61791-26-2).

Member States shall ensure that the genotoxic potential of formulations containing glyphosate is addressed before granting authorisations for plant protection products containing glyphosate.

7. List of studies to be generated

Further information was identified which was at this stage considered necessary in relation to the approval of glyphosate under the current approval conditions.

This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

The applicant shall submit confirmatory information as regards the absence of endocrine disrupting properties that may cause adverse effect in humans to the Commission, the Member States and the Authority by 1 August 2016.

Some endpoints however may require the generation or submission of additional studies to be submitted to the Member States in order to ensure authorisations for use under certain conditions. A complete list of studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed can be found in the relevant part of the EFSA Conclusion (page 23).

8. Information on studies with claimed data protection

For information of any interested parties, the rapporteur Member State will keep available a document which gives information about the studies for which the applicant has claimed data protection and which during the re-evaluation process were considered as essential with a view to approval under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. This information is only given to facilitate the operation of the provisions of Article 62 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 in the Member States. It is based on the best information available but it does not prejudice any rights or obligations of Member States or operators with regard to its uses in the implementation of the provisions of Article 62 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and neither does it commit the Commission.

9. Updating of this review report

The information in this report may require to be updated from time to time in order to take account of technical and scientific developments as well as of the results of the examination of any information referred to the Commission in the framework of Articles 13, 21, 38, 44, 56 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Any such adaptation will be finalised in the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed, in connection with any amendment of the approval conditions for glyphosate.


This draft document does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission. It incorporates amendments to the text based on comments received from Member States.

heeft opmaak toegepast: Lettertype: 12 pt

APPENDIX I

Main identity

GLYPHOSATE

Common name (ISO)	Glyphosate
Chemical name (IUPAC)	N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine
Chemical name (CA)	N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine
CIPAC No	284
CAS No	1071-83-6
EC No (EINECS or ELINCS) ‡	213-997-4
FAO SPECIFICATION	284/TC (2014) applicable to material of Monsanto, Cheminova, Syngenta and Helm Glyphosate: ≥ 950 g/kg Formaldehyde: maximum 1.3 g/kg of the glyphosate acid content found <i>N</i> -Nitroso-glyphosate: maximum 1 mg/kg Insolubles in 1 M NaOH: maximum 0.2 g/kg
Minimum purity	950 g/kg Impurities: Formaldehyde, less than 1 g/kg <i>N</i> -Nitroso-glyphosate, less than 1 mg/kg
Molecular formula	$C_3H_8NO_3P$
Molecular mass	169.1 g/mol
Structural formula	

APPENDIX II

List of uses supported by available data

GLYPHOSATE*

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Formulation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (l)	Remarks: (m)
					Type (d-f)	Conc a s (i)	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min-max (k)	interval between applicatio ns (min)	L/ha product min-max	Water L/ha min-max	kg a s/ha min max		
All crops** (all seeded or transplanted crops)	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	Spray	Pre planting of crop	1-2	21 d (see remark)	1-6	100-400	0 36-2 16		Spring & autumn after harvest (incl stubble and/or seedbed prep) For all crops: Max application rate 4 32
All crops** (all seeded crops)	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	Spray	Post planting/ pre emergence of crop	1		1-3	100-400	0 36-1 08		kg/ha glyphosate in any 12 month period across use categories, equivalent to the sum of pre-plant, pre-harvest and post-harvest stubble applications The interval between applications is dependent on new weed emergence after the first treatment, relative to the time of planting the crop

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Formulation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (l)	Remarks: (m)
					Type (d-f)	Conc a s (i)	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min-max (k)	interval between applications (min)	L/ha product # min-max	Water L/ha min-max	kg a s /ha min max		
Cereals (pre-harvest) wheat, rye, triticale,	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	Spray	Crop maturity < 30 % grain moisture	1		2-6	100-400	0 72-2 16	7	Max application rate 4 32 kg/ha glyphosate in any 12 month period across use categories, equivalent to the sum of pre-plant, pre-harvest and post-harvest stubble applications Pre-harvest uses in all crops include uses for weed control (higher doses) and harvest aid, sometimes referred to as desiccation (lower doses) The critical GAP is the high dose recommended used for weed control
Cereals (pre-harvest) barley and oats	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	Spray	Crop maturity < 30 % grain moisture	1		2-6	100-400	0 72-2 16	7	
Oilseeds (pre-harvest) rapeseed, mustard seed, linseed	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	Spray	Crop maturity < 30 % grain moisture	1		2-6	100-400	0 72-2 16	14	

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Formulation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (l)	Remarks: (m)
					Type (d-f)	Conc a s (i)	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min-max (k)	interval between applicatio ns (min)	L/ha product min-max	Water L/ha min-max	kg a s /ha min max		
Orchard crops, vines, including citrus & tree nuts	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	Spray	Post emergence of weeds	1-3	28 d	2-8	100-400	0.72-2.88	N/A	Stone & pome fruit, olives Applications to avoid contact with tree branches Maximum cumulative application rate 4.32 kg/ha glyphosate in any 12 month period Note: Because applications are made to the intra-rows (inner strips between the trees within a row), application rates per ha are expressed per 'unit of treated surface area' the actual application rate per ha orchard or vineyard will roughly only be 33 %
Orchard crops, vines, including citrus & tree nuts	EU	MON 52276	F	Emerged annual, perennial and biennial weeds	SL	360 g/L	(ULV) Sprayer or Knapsack use (spot treatment)	Post emergence of weeds	1-3	28d	2-8	0-400	0.72-2.88		Stone & pome fruit, olives Applications made round base of trunk [0.0 L/ha water addresses ULV application of the undiluted product] Max cumulative application rate 4.32 kg/ha glyphosate in any 12 month period Note: Because

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Formulation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (l)	Remarks: (m)
					Type (d-f)	Conc a s (i)	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min-max (k)	interval between applications (min)	L/ha product min-max	Water L/ha min-max	kg a s /ha min max		
															applications are made round base of trunk and to the intra-rows, (inner strips between two trees within a row), application rates per ha are expressed per 'unit of treated surface area' the actual application rate per ha orchard or vineyard will roughly only be 33 % - 50 %

Remarks:

- * For uses where the column 'Remarks' is marked in grey further consideration is necessary
Uses should be crossed out when the notifier no longer supports this use(s)
- ** Crops including but not restricted to: root & tuber vegetables, bulb vegetables, stem vegetables, field vegetables (fruiting vegetables, brassica vegetables, leaf vegetables and fresh herbs, legume vegetables), pulses, oil seeds, potatoes, cereals, and sugar- & fodder beet; before planting fruit crops, ornamentals, trees, nursery plants etc
- (a) For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be taken into account; where relevant, the use situation should be described (*e.g.* fumigation of a structure)
- (b) Outdoor or field use (F), glasshouse application (G) or indoor application (I)
- (c) *e.g.* biting and sucking insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds
- (d) *e.g.* wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)
- (e) GCPF Codes - GIFAP Technical Monograph No 2, 1989
- (f) All abbreviations used must be explained
- (g) Method, *e.g.* high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench
- (h) Kind, *e.g.* overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plant - type of equipment used must be indicated
- (i) g/kg or g/L
- (j) Growth stage at last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application
- (k) Indicate the minimum and maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use
- # former information on kg a s/hl replaced by RMS
- (l) PHI - minimum pre-harvest interval
- (m) Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions