

Minutes high level meeting Ctgb – BVL 10th-11th April 2018

Start: 10th April 11.00 hrs

End: 11th April 13.00 hrs

At the Ctgb: Bennekomseweg 41, NL-6717 LL Ede

Representatives for the BVL:

5.1.2.e Woo	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]

Representatives for the Ctgb:

Johan de Leeuw Chair of the Board for the authorisation of PPP and biocides (Ctgb)

Luuk van Duijn Secretary/Director of the Ctgb

5.1.2.e Woo	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]

Action points

		What	Who	When
1	2a	DCG-agenda point – who is Chair of CZSC after BE	BVL	In time for DCG
2	2a	Secretariat: invoices to other MS in central zone	Ctgb/ <small>5.1.2.e Wood</small>	after DCG
3	3a	For the DCG we need a one-pager that explains the basics of the arbitration plans. including the fall-back proposal: 1) more generic proposal, b) bilateral agreement.	Ctgb	In time for DCG
4	3b	Agenda point for high level meeting with Commission: proposal for revision of groups around PAFF	Ctgb	In time for September meeting
5	3e	Draw up a list of dossiers submitted since the workshop, that contain little core and too much national addendum. Together with the names of the zRMS and the reason why. give those MSs an opportunity to explain themselves.	BVL and Ctgb	In time for DCG
6	3a	a short review of the ecotox workshop – we addressed these subjects, these were the conclusions, and from here we will... and then ‘ since then we received more cMS reports, etc.	BVL/UBA? Ctgb?	Asap
7	4a	Results of Dutch Court ruling on 12 th April – information of German colleagues	Ctgb/ <small>5.1.2.e Wood</small>	?
8	5b	Setting date for High level meeting with COM, EFSA, Chairs of zonal SC, other MS	Ctgb/Luuk	Asap
9	5b	Ask for comment from other MSs on Luuk’s agenda high level meeting	Ctgb/Luuk	Now
10	5b	Organisation of teleconference with the MSs; the date should be around the beginning of July.	Secretariat	Beginning July
11	5f	PPP Curatio (ZV3 008319). BVL needs more technical information	Ctgb/ <small>5.1.2.e Wood</small>	Now
12	7	Name German representative for IPM to be sent to <small>5.1.2.e Wood</small>	BVL	
13	7	Cooperation assembling data for orchard model	Ctgb/ <small>5.1.2.e Wood</small>	
14	8d	Harmonisation core/national addendum: NL to draft a ‘ one pager’ paper summing up problems,	Ctgb	
15	8d	BVL to take this up with UBA	BVL	
16	8e	Agenda point for DCG: authorities should ask the industry to systematically report on monitoring resistance (art 56, 1107) offering them clear guidance how to assemble which information.	Secretariat to put on agenda	In time for DCG

Tuesday 10th April

1 Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

[Redacted text block]

Glyphosate: in DE the mainstream discussion is on how to reduce the use of glyphosate; In NL there is no big discussion. Pre-harvest use in DE is now restricted; in NL it is still authorised, but the call for reduction is getting stronger. Non-professional use is still possible in NL; in DE it is under discussion to ban it as is all use on hard surfaces (except specific permits e.g. for railway tracks). In NL there is now a ban on all professional use of glyphosate outside agriculture.

2 Buiten re kwijde verzoek

[Redacted text block]

Bu ijde verzoek

[Redacted text block]

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

4 Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

4b Recent developments in neonics

Vote on 27th April to restrict the use of 3 neonics to indoor use only. Since it is an interim intervention in the conditions of approval, Ctgb thinks that the burden of proof lies with the Commission. The EFSA only took into account mitigation measures that are already in the GDs. The COM did not take into account some of the mitigation measures that the MSs could take at a national level. With their decision the COM precludes this national possibility.

5.1.2.a Woo

4c Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

5 Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

7 Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

[Redacted text block]

8 AOB

8a Cumulative risk assessment

BfR and UBA produced a paper in 2014 how they assess this risk. (Paradigm shift in the risk assessment of cumulative effects of pesticide mixtures and multiple residues to humans and wildlife: German proposal for an new approach) Their concepts work. The Ctgb calculates combitox, but not cumulative risk assessment in the way the RIVM states. Working on MRL level, we'll find 'paper risks' about everywhere. The only possible way forward will be monitoring.

8b Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

1 Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

8d Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]