

From: 5.1.2.e Woo drs. 5.1.2.e Woo
Sent: dinsdag 19 februari 2019 14:14
To: Duijn, dr. ir. L.P. van (Luuk); 5.1.2.e Woo drs. 5.1.2.e Woo
Cc: 5.1.2.e Woo
Subject: FW: Glyphosate article on Dutch website
Attachments: FW GLP studies

Bijgaand de Efsa-antwoorden aan 5.1.2.e Woo

Groet, 5.1.2.e Woo

Van: 5.1.2.e Woo [mailto:5.1.2.e Woo@efsa.europa.eu]
Verzonden: dinsdag 19 februari 2019 14:07
Aan: 5.1.2.e Woo, drs. 5.1.2.e Woo
CC: EFSA Press
Onderwerp: FW: Glyphosate article on Dutch website

Dear 5.1.2.e Woo

Thank you for contacting us. I confirm we were in touch with the journalist and I am happy to share our answers to his questions (attached).

For your information: the questions we received from 5.1.2.e Woo are to be considered as the journalist's own intellectual property. In that respect I have paraphrased the original questions. Should you wish to receive instead the original exchange occurred between EFSA press office and the journalist, you'll have to submit a public access to document request, which will be assessed by our legal department (and might take some days to be processed).

Let us know if we can be of further assistance.

Best

5.1

5.1.2.e Woo
5.1.2.e Woo - Media Relations
Communications, Engagement and Cooperation Department



European Food Safety Authority
Via Carlo Magno 1A
43126 Parma - Italy
Direct: 5.1.2.e Woo
Email: 5.1.2.e Woo@efsa.europa.eu
twitter.com/EFSA_EU

This e-mail, including its attachments, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. Unless you are a named recipient (or authorised by a recipient), access to this e-mail message or any disclosure or copying of its content, or any action taken in reliance on it is unauthorised and may be unlawful.

From: 5.1.2.e Woo drs. 5.1.2.e Woo @ctgb.nl]

Sent: 19 February 2019 12:28

To: EFSA Press

Subject: Glyphosate article on Dutch website

Dear colleagues,

As was asked by your colleague who answered the phone, I send you our question by email: a few days ago an article was published on a Dutch website (<https://www.ftm.nl/artikelen/monsanto-zette-nederlands-onderzoek-stop?share=1>), stating among others that Efsa had answered the journalist (5.1.2.e Woo) that including a negative research report on dermal absorption by rats in the glyphosate dossier from 2002 was not necessary. In the article the suggestion is raised that the applicant can choose to put positive results in the report "it is not necessary to publish all GLP-studies") and leave negative (GLP) studies away (although the guidance's asks for such a prove). In the Dutch parliament a question was raised about this article. It would be very good when you can reproduce the answer given to 5.1.2.e Woo as we are involved in answering the question from the parliament.

I'm looking forward for your answer.

Kind regards,

Drs. 5.1.2.e Woo

5.1.2.e Woo

Ctgb

Board for the Authorisation of Plant Protection Products and Biocides

Bezoekadres / visiting address:

Bennekomseweg 41, NL-6717 LL Ede

Postadres / postal address:

Postbus / P.O. box 8030, NL-6710 AA Ede, The Netherlands

T 5.1.2.e Woo / 5.1.2.e Woo