

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Bijlage 1

bij het

Advies 2024 voor de aanvraag vrijstelling

ex artikel 38 Wet gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden (Wgb)

[artikel 53 Verordening (EU) Nr. 1107/2009]

van het insecticide

Tracer

(spinosad)

in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif

ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg


Deel 1	Landbouwkundige onderbouwing [NVWA]
Deel 2A	Voorgesteld WG [NVWA]
Deel 2B	Geadviseerd WG [Ctgb]
Deel 3A	Voorgestelde GAP [NVWA]
Deel 3B	Geadviseerde GAP [Ctgb]
Deel 4	Beoordeling Humane toxiciteit [Ctgb]
Deel 5	Beoordeling Residuen [Ctgb]
Deel 6	Beoordeling Fate and behaviour [Ctgb]
Deel 7	Beoordeling Ecotoxiciteit [Ctgb]

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 1 – Landbouwkundige onderbouwing NVWA


Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Onderbouwing van de noodsituatie op het gebied van gewasbescherming ten behoeve van een tijdelijke vrijstelling art. 38 Wgb voor de middelen Buiten reikwijdte verzoek en Tracer ter beheersing van *Drosophila suzukii* in de onbedekte teelt van kers

Opgesteld door:

NVWA, Afdeling Expertise, Team Gewasbescherming

Februari, 2024

Inleiding

Situatie

De Nederlandse Fruittelers Organisatie heeft een verzoek tot vrijstelling, conform art. 38 van de WGB, ingediend bij het ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit. Het verzoek betreft een vrijstelling voor het middel Tracer, op basis van de werkzame stof spinosad en een vrijstelling voor het middel Buiten reikwijdte verzoek ter beheersing van *Drosophila suzukii* (suzuki-fruitvlieg) in de onbedekte teelt van kers.

Teelt

Kersen (CBS 2020: 787 ha (545 ha zoete kers en 242 ha zure kers)) worden verspreid over het land geteeld. Het grootste areaal bevindt zich in Gelderland, Noord-Brabant, Limburg en Utrecht. De oogst van de kersen vindt vooral plaats in de maanden juni tot en met augustus.

Aantaster

De suzuki fruitvlieg komt in geheel Nederland voor. Het insect legt eieren in vruchten van zacht fruit, die af beginnen te rijpen, maar ook wel in onrijp fruit. De larven ontwikkelen zich in de vruchten. Kleinfruit (o.a. aardbei, frambozen en bessen) en steenfruit (vooral kersen en pruimen) zijn de gewassen die het meest vatbaar zijn voor aantasting door de suzuki-fruitvlieg. Wilde planten zoals braam zijn waard voor dit insect. Ook beschadigde en afgevalen vruchten vormen een besmettingsbron. De larven zitten tijdens de oogst in aangetaste vruchten.

De aanvangspopulatie van de volwassen exemplaren na overwintering is laag, maar de populatie kan zich daarna gedurende het seizoen, door het grote aantal afgezette eieren, weer snel opbouwen. Vanaf het voorjaar gaan de vrouwtjes op zoek naar vruchten om hun eieren in af te zetten. Zij vermeerderen zich dan op vroeg rijpende vruchten (zoals kersen en pruimen), waarna in volgende cycli ook eieren worden afgezet op vruchten die later afrijpen. Vanaf tweede helft mei/begin juni worden aangetaste kersen aangetroffen. Bij warm Nederlands zomerweer is de generatieduur van suzuki-fruitvlieg ruim twee weken. Onder Nederlandse klimaatomstandigheden kunnen zich zes tot zeven generaties per jaar ontwikkelen.

Landbouwkundige criteria art. 38 Wgb

Bijzondere omstandigheden

Aanleiding

In de afgelopen jaren heeft de suzuki-fruitvlieg zich in Zuid-Europa gevestigd, verspreid en veel schade veroorzaakt. Sinds omstreeks 2011 komt het insect ook in Nederland voor en heeft het zich sinds 2013 verspreid over het hele land.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Concreet perspectief

In 2015 t/m 2023 zijn zowel een middel op basis van spinosad als een middel op basis van Buiten reikwijdte verzoek vrijgesteld ter bescherming van de onbedekte teelt van kers tegen suzuki-fruitvlieg.

De werkzame stof spinosad is goedgekeurd tot 15 maart 2025 (lijst van goedgekeurde werkzame stoffen van Verordening (EG) nr. 1107/2009). De toelatinghouder streeft ook in Nederland naar een toelating in kers. Na de renewal van de werkzame stof, die in 2025 verwacht wordt, zullen de herregistratiedossiers ingediend worden. Dit middel is daarmee niet beschikbaar in 2024. Het Ctgb heeft reeds in 2023 gewezen op de mogelijkheid om een NLKUG in te dienen waarmee een reguliere oplossing op kortere termijn beschikbaar zou kunnen komen.

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

Sinds 1 juli 2017 geldt voor nieuwe vrijstellingsaanvragen dat er binnen drie jaar perspectief moet zijn op een oplossing. Voor vrijstellingsaanvragen die voor deze datum zijn ingediend, geldt deze termijn vooralsnog niet. De NVWA constateert dat sinds 2015 het verkrijgen van een reguliere toelating in Nederland van een middel op basis van spinosad en een middel op basis van Buiten reikwijdte verzoek zicht gaf op concreet perspectief, maar dat er geen sprake meer is van een kortstondige overbrugging naar een reguliere oplossing. Vanuit het belang van de transitie naar een weerbaar teeltsysteem, zoals beschreven in de Toekomstvisie gewasbescherming 2030, is het gewenst dat de aanvrager op korte termijn inzet op alternatieven.

In reactie hierop geeft de aanvrager aan dat de inzet op alternatieven gaande is. Snel na de introductie van suzuki-fruitvlieg in Nederland is een groot onderzoeksproject gestart, gericht op dit insect. Kennis die ontwikkeld is in dit project en andere projecten wordt inmiddels toegepast.

In 2021 is in Groot Brittannië in een perceel aardbeien een proef uitgevoerd met het inzetten van steriele mannetjes van de suzuki-fruitvlieg. De resultaten waren goed. Dit bedrijf zal in 2023 in Groot Brittannië starten met de praktijkintroductie. Het bedrijf heeft in de planning om vanaf 2025 naar het Europese vaste land te komen met de steriele mannetjes techniek.

De aanvrager geeft aan dat internationaal in het afgelopen jaar de meeste ontwikkeling is geweest in de doorontwikkeling van de bait spray werkwijze. Bij bait sprays wordt voedsel voor de volwassen vliegen gemengd met een kleine hoeveelheid insecticide in grove druppels op het gewas gespoten. De vliegen voeden zich op de druppels en nemen zo het insecticide op, waardoor met minder middel hetzelfde of een beter resultaat wordt behaald. In Nederland is in 2023 een vervolgproject gericht op de beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg opgezet dat, zeer waarschijnlijk in 2024 start.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Conclusie

Conclusie Tracer: De aanvraag voldoet aan de eis van bijzondere omstandigheden. De toelatinghouder en de sector leveren inspanningen om te komen tot een oplossing. Er is perspectief voor de toelating van een middel op basis van spinosad in de onbedekte teelt van kers.

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

Alternatieven

Maatregelen

Hygiënische maatregelen, zoals geen fruit op de composthoop gooien, dragen bij aan de beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg. Alle rijpe en overrijpe vruchten verwijderen, waardoor deze geen besmettingsbron zijn, is niet uitvoerbaar. In de grote steenfruitbomen lukt het niet om alle vruchten te oogsten.

Een open gewas, een kort gemaaid ondergrond en schone zwartstroken zorgen voor minder schaduw en vocht, waardoor de suzuki-fruitvlieg zich minder makkelijk kan vestigen. Ook het verwijderen van waardplanten zoals braam in de directe omgeving in o.a. singels, verminderen de mogelijkheden voor de suzuki-fruitvlieg voor verdere opbouw van de populatie.

Het moment van aantasting kan door innetten van boomgaarden met insectengaas worden uitgesteld, waardoor de aantasting uiteindelijk lager uitkomt. Deze maatregel is economisch en technisch goed inzetbaar in 80-90% van de teelt van laagstam zoete kersen. Deze methode is bij zure kers en hoogstam zoete kersen minder snel economisch rendabel omdat in deze boomgaarden een basisconstructie voor regenkapten, waar insectengaas aan vastgemaakt kan worden, ontbreekt. Het innetten is niet overal toegestaan op basis van ruimtelijke ordeningsregelingen.

Volgens de aanvrager is er in de teelt van kers geen meerwaarde van monitoring en waarschuwings- en adviessystemen, omdat suzuki-fruitvlieg algemeen voorkomt, zich zeer goed kan verplaatsen en altijd verschijnt als de vruchten gaan rijpen.

Door vroeg te oogsten en snel te koelen is met een beginnende aantasting nog een te verkopen product te realiseren, maar dit kost kwaliteit en kwantiteit.

Praktijkproeven met parasitaire nematoden geven aan dat 50% doding mogelijk is. Dit is echter alleen effectief tegen de fruitvliegmaden op het moment dat al forse schade is ontstaan in het perceel.

Er loopt onderzoek naar de mogelijkheid om sluipwespen in te zetten voor de beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg. Deze maatregel is nog in ontwikkeling.

Toegelaten middelen en goedgekeurde basisstoffen

In de onbedekte teelt van kersen zijn middelen tegen insecten beschikbaar op basis van acetamiprid, azadirachtin, *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. Aizawai, *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. Kurstaki, *Beauveria bassiana* stam GHA, diammoniumfosfaat (basisstof), flonicamid, maltodextrine, *Metarhizium anisopliae* stam F52, paraffineoliën, pirimicarb, spirotetramat, talk (basisstof), *Urtica* spp. (basisstof) en vetzuren C8-C18 en C18 onverzadigd, kaliumzouten.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Middelen op basis van acetamiprid, azadirachtin, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (beide subsp), *Beauveria bassiana* stam GHA, diammoniumfosfaat, flonicamid, maltodextrine, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, paraffineoliën, pirimicarb, *Urtica* spp. en vetzuren C8-C18 en C18 onverzadigd, kaliumzouten hebben geen tot onvoldoende werking tegen suzuki-fruitvlieg.

Middelen op basis van spirotetramat hebben een systemische werking, waarbij het essentieel is dat deze op een groeiend gewas worden toegepast. Tijdens de rijpingsperiode is de groei van het gewas echter beperkt waardoor de werking van het middel in die fase onvoldoende is. Uit onderzoek is bekend dat dit middel een beperkte werking heeft tegen suzuki-fruitvlieg. Het werkt alleen tegen de larve in de vrucht. Door de lange veiligheidstermijn van 21 dagen is dit middel voor de beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg in de oogstperiode geen alternatief.

Talk is als basisstof goedgekeurd in de teelt van kers waarbij werkzaamheid tegen o.a. suzuki-fruitvlieg aannemelijk is. Het is een repellent (d.m.v. een fysieke barrière). De toepassing van talk geeft een wit residu op de vruchten, waardoor deze onverkoopbaar worden.

Met de toepassing van deze maatregelen en middelen kan suzuki-fruitvlieg niet afdoende beheerst worden.

Landbouwkundige noodzaak voor vrijstelling van 2 middelen:

De oogst in een perceel met diverse kersenrassen duurt 6 tot 7 weken. De werkingsduur van een middel op basis van spinosad is 5 tot 7 dagen en het mag maximaal 2 keer per teeltcyclus worden toegepast. De werkingsduur van het middel op basis van

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek 10 tot 14 dagen en het mag maximaal 2 keer per 12 maanden worden toegepast. Het is daardoor onvoldoende wanneer alleen één van beide middelen zou worden vrijgesteld. Voor een effectieve beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg zijn beide middelen nodig.

Conclusie

Een landbouwtechnisch doelmatige onbedekte teelt van kers is met het beschikbare pakket aan maatregelen en middelen niet mogelijk.

Gevaar

Suzuki-fruitvlieg komt verspreid over het hele land voor. De larven zitten tijdens de oogst in de vruchten, waardoor de houdbaarheid sterk afneemt. Bovendien is er een nultolerantie bij de afnemers, wat inhoudt dat de hele partij onverkoopbaar is als enkele aangetaste vruchten in een partij aangetroffen worden.

De aantasting van kers door suzuki-fruitvlieg geeft met name in de late oogst vruchtuival tijdens of na de oogst. Zonder de vrij te stellen middelen, loopt de aantasting aan het einde van het oogstseizoen op late rassen op tot 100%. De aanvrager schat in dat de economische schade gemiddeld over het hele jaar 50% (zoete kers) tot 60% (zure kers) is.

Conclusie

Een landbouwtechnisch doelmatige onbedekte teelt van kers in Nederland wordt bedreigd door onvoldoende mogelijkheden tot beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg.

Eindconclusie Tracer

Vrijstelling conform art. 38 Wgb, van Tracer in de onbedekte teelt van kers voldoet aan de landbouwkundige criteria. Suzuki-fruitvlieg is een teeltbedreigend probleem voor de onbedekte teelt van kers, waarvoor momenteel het beschikbare pakket aan maatregelen en middelen niet afdoende is. De aanvraag voor de onbedekte teelt van kers voldoet aan de eis van bijzondere omstandigheden. Er is perspectief voor de toelating van een middel op basis van spinosad in de onbedekte teelt van kers.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg


Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



Aangevraagde periode

Tracer: 01-05-2024 tot en met 15-08-2024


Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek



College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

Eindconclusie Tracer

Vrijstelling conform art. 38 Wgb, van Tracer in de onbedekte teelt van druif voldoet aan de landbouwkundige criteria. Suzuki-fruitvlieg is een teeltbedreigend probleem voor de onbedekte teelt van druif, waarvoor momenteel het beschikbare pakket aan maatregelen en middelen niet afdoende is. De aanvraag voor de onbedekte teelt van druif voldoet aan de eis van bijzondere omstandigheden, er is perspectief voor de toelating van een middel op basis van spinosad voor de onbedekte teelt van druif.

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

Aangevraagde periode

Tracer: 15-7-2024 t/m 31-10-2024

Buiten reikwijdte verzoek

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 2A – Voorgesteld WG [NVWA]

Wettelijk Gebruiksvoorschrift

Het middel is uitsluitend toegestaan als insectenbestrijdingsmiddel voor het professionele gebruik door middel van een gewasbehandeling in de volgende toepassingsgebieden onder de hierna vermelde toepassingsvoorwaarden.

Toepassingsvoorwaarden

Toepassings-gebied	Te bestrijden organisme	Dosering (middel) per toepassing	Maximale dosering (middel) per toepassing	Maximaal aantal toepassingen per teeltcyclus	Minimum interval tussen toepassingen in dagen	Veiligheidstermijn in dagen
Kers (onbedekte teelt)	suzuki-fruitvlieg ¹	0,02 %	200 ml/ha	2	7	7
Pruim (onbedekte teelt)	suzuki-fruitvlieg ¹	0,02 %	200 ml/ha	2	7	7
Druif (onbedekte teelt)	suzuki-fruitvlieg ¹	0,02%	80 ml/ha ²	4	10	14

¹Drosophila suzukii

²Toepassing van het middel alleen op het deel van het gewas waar zich druiventrossen bevinden.

Toepassingsvoorwaarden

Om in het water levende organismen te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen, pruimen en druiven in percelen die grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de insteek van de sloot / perceelgrens.

Gevaarlijk voor bijen. Om de bijen en andere bestuivende insecten te beschermen mag u dit product niet gebruiken op in bloei staande gewassen. Gebruik dit product niet op plaatsen waar bijen actief naar voedsel zoeken. Gebruik dit product niet in de buurt van in bloei staand onkruid. Verwijder onkruid voordat het bloeit.

Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen en pruimen in percelen die niet grenzen aan watergangen uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik wordt gemaakt van:

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99; of
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.

Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van druiven in percelen die niet grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van:

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT95 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens; of
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.

Let op: dit middel kan schadelijk zijn voor natuurlijke vijanden. Raadpleeg deskundigen (uw leverancier van natuurlijke vijanden, de producent van dit middel, uw adviseur) over het gebruik van dit middel in combinatie met het gebruik van natuurlijke vijanden.

23/0414/5.1.2.e

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 2B – Geadviseerd WG [Ctgb]
--

Toevoegen:

In de teelt van kers en pruim uitsluitend toepassen met machinaal voortbewogen apparatuur.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 3A – Voorgestelde GAP [NVWA]

PPP (product name/code): Tracer Active substance 1: spinosad Safener: safener Synergist: synergist Applicant: Corteva Zone(s): central ^(d) Verified by MS: yes/no	GAP rev. , date: 2022-12-12 Formulation type: SC ^(a, b) Conc. of as 1: 480 g/l ^(c) Conc. of safener: conc. ^(c) Conc. of synergist: conc. ^(c) Professional use: ☒ Non professional use: ☐
--	---

Field of use: insecticide

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use- No. ^(e)	Member state(s)	Crop and/ or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop)	F, Fn, Fpn G, Gn, Gpn or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. g safener/synergist per ha ^(f)
					Method / Kind	Timing / Growth stage of crop & season	Max. number a) per use b) per crop/ season	Min. interval between applications (days)	kg or L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g or kg as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min / max		
1	NL	Kers (PRNAV en PRNCE)	F	Suzuki-fruitvlieg, Drosophila suzuki (DROSSU)	gewasbehandeling	BBCH 79-89 mei-augustus	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0,2 L b) 0,4 L	a) 0,096 g b) 0,192 g	1000	7	
2	NL	Pruim (PRNDO)	F	Suzuki-fruitvlieg, Drosophila suzuki (DROSSU)	Gewasbehandeling	BBCH 79-89 juni-september	a) 2 b) 2	7	a) 0,2 L b) 0,4 L	a) 0,096 g b) 0,192 g	1000	7	

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

3	NL	Druif (VITVI)	F	Suzuki-fruitvlieg, Drosophila suzuki (DROSSU)	Gewasbehandeling	BBCH 79-89 juli-oktober	a) 4 b) 4	10	a) 0,08 L b) 0,32 L	a) 0,0384 g b) 0,1536 g	200- 400	14	
---	----	---------------	---	---	------------------	----------------------------	--------------	----	------------------------	----------------------------	-------------	----	--

Remarks table heading:

(a) e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)

(b) Catalogue of pesticide formulation types and international coding system CropLife International Technical Monograph n°2, 6th Edition Revised May 2008

(c) g/kg or g/l

(d) Select relevant

(e) Use number(s) in accordance with the list of all intended GAPs in Part B, Section 0 should be given in column 1

(f) No authorization possible for uses where the line is highlighted in grey, Use should be crossed out when the notifier no longer supports this use.

Remarks columns:

1 Numeration necessary to allow references

2 Use official codes/nomenclatures of EU Member States

3 For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; when relevant, the use situation should be described (e.g. fumigation of a structure)

4 F: professional field use, Fn: non-professional field use, Fpn: professional and non-professional field use, G: professional greenhouse use, Gn: non-professional greenhouse use, Gpn: professional and non-professional greenhouse use, I: indoor application

5 Scientific names and EPPO-Codes of target pests/diseases/ weeds or, when relevant, the common names of the pest groups (e.g. biting and sucking insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds) and the developmental stages of the pests and pest groups at the moment of application must be named.

6 Method, e.g. high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench
Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plants - type of equipment used must be indicated.

7 Growth stage at first and last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application

8 The maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided.

9 Minimum interval (in days) between applications of the same product

10 For specific uses other specifications might be possible, e.g.: g/m³ in case of fumigation of empty rooms. See also EPPO-Guideline PP 1/239 Dose expression for plant protection products.

11 The dimension (g, kg) must be clearly specified. (Maximum) dose of a.s. per treatment (usually g, kg or L product / ha).

12 If water volume range depends on application equipments (e.g. ULVA or LVA) it should be mentioned under “application: method/kind”.

13 PHI - minimum pre-harvest interval

14 Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 3B – Geadviseerde GAP [Ctgb]
--

Zie 3A.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 4 – Beoordeling Humane toxiciteit [Ctgb]

List of Endpoints

The final List of Endpoints presented below is taken from the final review report on spinosad (SANCO/1428/2004 – final, d.d. 14 July 2006). Where relevant, some additional remarks are given in *Italics*.

List of Endpoints

Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in mammals (Annex IIA, point 6.1)

Rate and extent of absorption:	rapid; spinosyn A: 60%, spinosyn D: 45% (based on urinary and bile excretion, and tissue residues)
Distribution:	widely distributed (perirenal fat, liver, kidneys, thyroid)
Potential for accumulation:	limited ($T_{1/2}$ = 2.5 - 42 h)
Rate and extent of excretion:	rapid: > 90% in 168 h
Metabolism in animals	extensive metabolism (N- and O-demethylated spinosyns and/or glutathion conjugates)
Toxicologically significant compounds (animals, plants and environment)	parent compound and metabolites

Acute toxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.2)

Rat LD ₅₀ oral	> 2000 mg/kg bw (rat)
Rat LD ₅₀ dermal	> 5000 mg/kg bw (rabbit)
Rat LC ₅₀ inhalation	> 5.18 mg/l (rat)
Skin irritation	not irritating
Eye irritation	not irritating
Skin sensitisation (test method used and result)	not sensitising (M & K)

Short term toxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.3)

Target / critical effect	vacuolation in several tissues in various species.
Lowest relevant oral NOAEL / NOEL	4.89 mg/kg bw/day (50 ppm: 90-d, dogs)
Lowest relevant dermal NOAEL / NOEL	1000 mg/kg bw/day (21-day, rabbit)
Lowest relevant inhalation NOAEL / NOEL	≥ 9.5 mg/m ³

Genotoxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.4)

**In vitro: Ames test, mouse lymphoma cell test (TK), Chromosome aberration (CHO-WB₁) and rat liver UDS; in vivo: mouse micronucleus. All negative.*

no genotoxic potential*

Long term toxicity and carcinogenicity (Annex IIA, point 6.5)

Target/critical effect	vacuolation in several tissues in various species
Lowest relevant NOAEL / NOEL	2.4 mg/kg bw/day (24 m rat)
Carcinogenicity	no carcinogenic potential

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Reproductive toxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.6)

Reproduction target / critical effect	decrease in litter size, survival and body weight at parental toxic levels.
Lowest relevant NOAEL / NOEL	10 mg/kg bw/day (rat) for parental, developmental and reproductive toxicity
Developmental target / critical effect	no developmental effects at maternal toxic levels.
Lowest relevant developmental NOAEL / NOEL	maternal toxicity: 10 mg/kg bw/day (rabbit) developmental toxicity: > 50 mg/kg bw/day (rabbit)

Neurotoxicity / Delayed neurotoxicity (Annex IIA, point 6.7)

no evidence of neurotoxicity in acute, subchronic and chronic studies

Other toxicological studies (Annex IIA, point 6.8)

metabolites: spinosyn B and K; acute oral > 2000 mg/kg bw, Ames test negative. Spinosyn D has a lower toxicity than Spinosyn A. Recovery of vacuolation of the thyroid after 22 w, and of the kidneys after 2 w. Genotoxicity studies <i>in vitro</i> (Ames, HGPRT, Chrom. Abber.) with samples from lysimeter study were negative.

Medical data (Annex IIA, point 6.9)

no reports of adverse effects in manufacturing, workers or users
--

Summary (Annex IIA, point 6.10)

	Value	Study	Safety factor
ADI	0.024 mg/kg bw/day	24-month rat	100
AOEL _{systemic} short-term	0.024 mg/kg bw/day	90-day dog	100, 50% correction for oral absorption
AOEL _{systemic} long-term	0.012 mg/kg bw/day	24-month rat	100, 50% correction for oral absorption
ARfD (acute reference dose)	Not allocated, not necessary		

Dermal absorption (Annex IIIA, point 7.3)

0.1% for the concentrated formulation; 2% for a concentration comparable to the spray liquid

Data requirements active substance

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

No additional data requirements are identified.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

4.1 Toxicity of the formulated product (IIIA 7.1)

The formulation Tracer does not need to be classified on the basis of its acute oral (LD_{50} rat >5000 mg/kg bw), dermal (LD_{50} rat >2000 mg/kg bw) and inhalation toxicology (LC_{50} rat >5.8 mg/L). The formulation Tracer does not need to be classified for skin or eye irritation and does not have sensitising properties in a Maximisation test.

4.1.1 Data requirements formulated product

No additional data requirements are identified.

4.2 Dermal absorption (IIIA 7.3)

For this article 38 exemption, the new EFSA guidance on dermal absorption (2017) is applicable and therefore, the dermal absorption values were calculated using the Excel spreadsheet provided with the EFSA guidance.

	Value	Reference
Concentrate (480 g/L)	0.1%	Based on an <i>in vitro</i> study with human skin samples (DAS Study ID 130528)
Spray dilution (0.6 g/L)	9.3%	
Spray dilution (0.01 g/L)	9.1%	

The spray dilution for the use under consideration is 0.096 g/L. Since the dermal absorption for the two tested spray dilutions is approximately the same and the intended spray dilution lies between the two tested ones, a pro rata correction is not considered necessary. As a worst-case approach, the more critical dermal absorption value (9.3%) is taken for the exposure calculations.

4.3 Available toxicological data relating to non-active substances (IIIA 7.4)

The available toxicological data relating to non-active substances will be taken into account in the classification and labelling of the formulated product.

4.4 Exposure/risk assessments

Overview of the intended uses

An application has been submitted for an article 38 exemption of the plant protection product Tracer, an insecticide based on the active substance spinosad.

Tracer is a SC (suspension concentrate) formulation and contains 480 g/L spinosad.

4.4.1 Operator exposure/risk

Calculation of the EU-AOEL / Tolerable Limit Value (TLV)

For spinosad no TLV has been set. The AOEL will be used for the risk assessment.

Since the formulation is applied 2 (cherry and plum) or 4 (grape) times during the period May to August (cherry), June to September (plum) or July to October (grape), a semi-chronic exposure duration is applicable for the operator (including contract workers). A semi-chronic AOEL is therefore derived.

Since spinosad is included in Annex I of 91/414/EEC and subsequently approved under Regulation 1107/2009 EC, the semi-chronic EU-AOEL of 0.024 mg/kg bw/day (= 1.44 mg/day for a 60-kg operator), based on the 90-day study in dogs, is used for the risk assessment (see List of Endpoints).

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Exposure/risk

Exposure to spinosad during mixing and loading and application of Tracer is estimated with models. The exposure is estimated for the unprotected and protected operator. In general, mixing and loading and application is performed by the same person. Therefore, for the total exposure, the respiratory and dermal exposure during mixing/loading and application have to be combined. In Table 1 below the estimated internal exposure is compared with the systemic EU-AOEL.

As application in cherry and plum is up to BBCH 89, both the normal and dense foliage density scenario is estimated using the EFSA OPEX online calculator 2022. Please note that this scenario is only available for manual application, but not for mechanical application.

For grapes a separate assessment is performed as the application rate is lower (0.08 L product/ha instead of 0.2 L/ha). In the EFSA OPEX model, no further distinction for foliage density is being made for grapes. Therefore, only the normal foliage density scenario is presented in the operator exposure assessment for the scenario in grapes.

Table 1. Internal operator exposure to spinosad and risk assessment for the use of Tracer

Route	Estimated internal exposure ^a (mg/kg bw/day)		Systemic AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)	% AOEL ^b	
	Without PPE	With PPE		Without PPE	With PPE
Cherry and plum					
<i>Mechanical upward spraying on cherry and plum (0.20 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>normal</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.007	-	0.024	29	-
<i>Manual-hand held upward spraying on cherry and plum (0.20 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>normal</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.004	-	0.024	18	-
<i>Manual-knapsack upward spraying on cherry and plum (0.20 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>normal</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.003	-	0.024	13	-
<i>Manual-hand held upward spraying on cherry and plum (0.20 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>dense</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.07	0.07 ^c	0.024	312	293^c
<i>Manual-knapsack upward spraying on cherry and plum (0.20 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>dense</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.08	0.07 ^c	0.024	315	294^c
Grapes					
<i>Mechanical upward spraying on grapes (0.08 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>normal</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.003	-	0.024	13	-
<i>Manual-hand held upward spraying on grapes (0.08 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, <u>normal</u> foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.003	-	0.024	12	-

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Route	Estimated internal exposure ^a (mg/kg bw/day)		Systemic AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)	% AOEL ^b	
	Without PPE	With PPE		Without PPE	With PPE
<i>Manual-knapsack upward spraying on grapes (0.08 L/ha, uncovered, 50% drift reduction, normal foliage density)</i>					
Mixing, loading and application	0.003	-	0.024	12	-

- a Internal exposure was calculated with the EFSA OPEX 2022 online calculator (version 1.0.0):
- biological availability via the dermal route: 0.1% (concentrate) and 9.3% (spray dilution) (see 4.2)
 - biological availability via the respiratory route: 100% (worst case)
- b The % AOEL is calculated by dividing the internal exposure by the systemic AOEL, multiplying by 100.
- c PPE: M/L and App: Workwear + Protected hands + FP2, P2 and similar.
- d PPE: M/L: Workwear + Protected hands; App: protected hands + rain suit body.

Based on the calculated exposures for spinosad, no adverse health effects are expected for the unprotected operator for mechanical and manual application of Tracer in grapes and for mechanical application of Tracer in cherry and plum.

Also, no adverse health effects are expected for the unprotected operator for manual (hand-held or knapsack) upward application of Tracer in cherry and plum for the normal foliage density (i.e. no contact is expected between the operator and the foliage during application). However, adverse health effects cannot be excluded based on the risk assessment for the unprotected and protected operator after dermal and respiratory exposure to spinosad resulting from manual (both hand-held and knapsack) upward application of Tracer in cherry and plum for the dense foliage scenario. As based on the application period of Tracer according to the GAP (May to September) the dense foliage scenario cannot be excluded, a restriction for manual application has to be stated on the label.

Therefore, the following has to be stated on the label:

In de teelt van kers en pruim uitsluitend toepassen met machinaal voortbewogen apparatuur.

4.4.2 Bystander exposure/risk

The exposure is estimated for the unprotected resident. In Table 2 the estimated internal exposure is compared with the systemic EU-AOEL.

Since no AAOEL has been set at EU level the bystander exposure is covered by the resident exposure assessment.

Since different application rates and spray volumes are applied for the use in cherry/plum and grapes, these scenarios are calculated separately. In addition, the early season scenario is applied for cherry and plum as this represents the worst case scenario. For grapes, the application season is not considered relevant.

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Table T.2 Internal resident exposure to spinosad and risk assessment for the use of Tracer

	Route	Estimated internal exposure ^a (mg/kg bw/day)	Systemic EU-AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)	% AEL ^b
<i>Upward spraying on cherry and plum (0.096 kg a.s./ha, 1000 L water/ha, 2 times, 7-day interval, early season, 50% drift reduction, 5 m buffer zone)</i>				
Child	Spray drift	0.0006	0.024	3
	Vapour	0.0008	0.024	3
	Surface deposits	0.0004	0.024	2
	Entry into treated crops	0.003	0.024	12
	All pathways	0.004	0.024	16
Adult	Spray drift	0.0003	0.024	1
	Vapour	0.0003	0.024	1
	Surface deposits	0.0002	0.024	< 1
	Entry into treated crops	0.002	0.024	7
	All pathways	0.002	0.024	8
<i>Upward spraying on grapes (0.0384 kg a.s./ha, 200 L water/ha, 4 times, 10-day interval, 50% drift reduction, 5 m buffer zone)</i>				
Child	Spray drift	0.001	0.024	5
	Vapour	0.0008	0.024	3
	Surface deposits	0.00005	0.024	< 1
	Entry into treated crops	0.002	0.024	7
	All pathways	0.003	0.024	13
Adult	Spray drift	0.0007	0.024	3
	Vapour	0.0003	0.024	1
	Surface deposits	0.00005	0.024	<1
	Entry into treated crops	0.001	0.024	4
	All pathways	0.002	0.024	6

a Internal exposure was calculated with:

- biological availability via the dermal route: 0.1% (concentrate) and 9.3% (spray dilution) (see 4.2)
- biological availability via the respiratory route: 100% (worst case)

b The % AOEL is calculated by dividing the internal exposure by the systemic AOEL, multiplying by 100.

c External exposure is estimated with the EFSA OPEX model.

Based on the calculated exposures for spinosad, no adverse health effects are expected resulting from the resident exposure of children and adults living next to a field treated with Tracer.

4.4.3 Worker exposure/risk

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Shortly after application it is possible to perform re-entry activities during which intensive contact with the treated crop will occur. Therefore, worker exposure is calculated. The exposure is estimated for the unprotected and protected worker. In Table 3 the estimated internal exposure is compared with the systemic EU-AOEL.

Table 3. Internal worker exposure to spinosad and risk assessment after application of Tracer

Tracer	Route	Estimated internal exposure ^a (mg/kg bw/day)		Systemic EU-AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)	% AOEL ^b	
		Without PPE	With PPE ^c		With out PPE	With PPE ^c
<i>Re-entry activities in cherry and plum (2 x 0.096 kg a.s./ha, interval 7 days)</i>						
	Total	0.02	0.008	0.024	96	34
<i>Re-entry activities in grapes (4 x 0.0384 kg a.s./ha, interval 10 days)</i>						
	Total	0.04	-	0.024	176	-

- a External exposure was estimated with the EFSA OPEX 2022 online calculator. Internal exposure was calculated with:
- biological availability via the dermal route: 9.3% (see 4.2);
 - biological availability via the respiratory route: 100% (worst case).
- b The % AOEL is calculated by dividing the internal exposure by the systemic AOEL, multiplying by 100.
- c PPE: gloves.

Based on the calculated exposures for spinosad, no adverse health effects are expected for the unprotected worker for the intended use in cherry and plum. For the use in grapes, no safe use has been shown for the unprotected worker. The EFSA OPEX does not provide a refinement assuming gloves are worn as no TC value is available for grapes assuming gloves.

While no refinement assuming PPE (gloves) is possible, a DT₅₀-value was derived in the RAR of spinosad of 3.1 days. The estimated worker exposure using this DT₅₀-value (rounded to 3 days) is provided in Table 4.

Table 4. Internal worker exposure to spinosad and risk assessment after application of Tracer refined DT₅₀ value of 3.1 days

Route	Estimated internal exposure ^a (mg/kg bw/day)		Systemic EU-AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)	% AOEL ^b	
	Without PPE	With PPE ^c		With out PPE	With PPE
Re-entry activities in grapes (4 x 0.0384 kg a.s./ha, interval 10 days, refined DT ₅₀)					
Total	0.02	-	0.024	67	-

- a External exposure was estimated with the EFSA OPEX 2022 online calculator. Internal exposure was calculated with:
- * biological availability via the dermal route: 9.3% (see 4.2);
 - * biological availability via the respiratory route: 100% (worst case).
- b The % AOEL is calculated by dividing the internal exposure by the systemic AOEL, multiplying by 100.

The refined risk assessment using the DT₅₀ value of 3 days shows a safe use for the unprotected worker for the use in grapes.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

4.4.4 Re-entry

See 4.4.3 Worker exposure/risk.

Overall conclusion of the exposure/risk assessments of operator, bystander, and worker

The product complies with the Uniform Principles.

Operator exposure

Based on the risk assessment, it can be concluded that no adverse health effects are expected after dermal and respiratory exposure to spinosad as a result of the application of Tracer for the unprotected operator for mechanical and manual application of Tracer in grapes and for mechanical application of Tracer in cherry and plum.

However, based on the risk assessment, adverse health effects cannot be excluded for the unprotected and protected operator after respiratory and dermal exposure to spinosad resulting from manual upward application of Tracer in cherry and plum. Therefore the following restriction sentence has to be stated on the label:

In de teelt van kers en pruim uitsluitend toepassen met machinaal voortbewogen apparatuur.

Bystander exposure

Based on the risk assessment, it can be concluded that no adverse health effects are expected for the unprotected bystander, nor for nearby non-work related bystanders and residents, due to exposure to spinosad during application of Tracer in cherry, plum and grapes.

Worker exposure

Based on the risk assessment, it can be concluded that no adverse health effects are expected for the unprotected worker after dermal and respiratory exposure during re-entry activities in cherry, plum and grapes due to exposure to spinosad after application of Tracer.

4.5 Appropriate mammalian toxicology and operator exposure endpoints relating to the product and approved uses

See List of Endpoints.

4.6 Data requirements

Based on this evaluation, no additional data requirements are identified.

4.7 Combination toxicology

Tracer contains only one active substance and it is not described that it should be used in combination with other formulations.

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

DEEL 5 – Beoordeling Residuen [Ctgb]

List of Endpoints

The List of Endpoints is taken from the Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance spinosad. Appendix A, EFSA, 2018. Only the endpoints relevant for the current application on grapes, cherries and plums are presented below. Grapes was one of the representative uses (3 x 96 g as/ha, interval 7 days, BBCH 12-89, PHI 14 days).

Residues in or on treated products food and feed

Metabolism in plants (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.2.1, 6.5.1, 6.6.1 and 6.7.1)

Primary crops (Plant groups covered) OECD Guideline 501	Crop groups	Crop(s)	Application(s)	DAT (days)
	Fruit crops	Apples	spinosyn A: 1x 1326 mg/tree spinosyn D: 1x 523 mg/tree 2x 100 g as/ha + 2x 150 g as/ha, 7d interval. spinosyn A or spinosyn D	0, 3, 7, 14, 28, 42 0, 3
		Tomatoes	3x 133 g as/ha ¹⁴ C-spinosyn A 3x 33 g as/ha ¹⁴ C-spinosyn D	Fruit: 26 after 1 st appl (0d before 2 nd) after 3 rd appl: 3, 7, 14 Vines: 14 after 3 rd appl
		grapes	1x 0.20 ¹⁴ C-spinosyn A as/bunch 1x 0.22 mg ¹⁴ C-spinosyn D as/bunch	0, 1, 3, 7, 10, 14 21, 49 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, 49 (foliar application)
		The metabolism studies were conducted either ¹⁴ C spinosyn A or ¹⁴ C spinosyn D that were uniformly labelled throughout the macrolide ring system and they were applied under foliar application or soil drench. Spinosad is subjective to photolytic degradation, resulting in faster degradation in unprotected crops exposed to sunlight.		

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Processed commodities (standard hydrolysis study) OECD Guideline 507	Conditions	¹⁴ C-spinosyn A	N-demethyl spinosyn A	spinosyn A 17-pseudoaglycone	
	20 min, 90°C, pH 4	96.9	1.9	1.2	
	60 min, 100°C, pH 5	95.6	2.5	3.4	
	20 min, 120°C, pH 6	77.5	6.3	13.4	
		¹⁴ C-spinosyn D	N-demethyl spinosyn D	spinosyn A 17-pseudoaglycone D	
	20 min, 90°C, pH 4	97.9	<3.3	<3.3	
	60 min, 100°C, pH 5	97.5	<3.3	3.8	
	20 min, 120°C, pH 6	83.3	<3.3	14.2	
Residue pattern in processed commodities similar to residue pattern in raw commodities?	Yes. Studies conducted with spinosyn A and spinosyn D.				
Plant residue definition for monitoring (RD-Mo)	Spinosad, sum of spinosyn A and spinosyn D				
OECD Guidance, series on pesticides No 31					
Plant residue definition for risk assessment (RD-RA)	Sum-of spinosyn A, spinosyn D, spinosyn B, spinosyn K. (Provisional)				
Conversion factor (monitoring to risk assessment)	See comments from the residue trials table.				

Stability of residues (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.1) OECD Guideline 506

Plant products (Category)	Commodity	T (°C)	Stability (Month)				
			Spin A	Spin D	Spin B	Spin K	N-demethyl spinosyn D
High acid content	grape, fruit	-20°	18	18	-	-	-
	strawberries		18	18	-	-	-
Other (processed)	Apple juice	-20°	23	23	23	23	23
	grape, wine	-20°	18	18			
Storage stability for spinosyns A, D, B, K residues has been demonstrated for the commodities types of pome fruit and fruiting vegetables/cucurbits in high water category, of grapes and berries in high acid category and of oilseeds the high oil category.							

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Summary of residues data from the supervised residue trials (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 6.3) OECD Guideline 509, OECD Guidance, series on pesticides No 66 and OECD MRL calculator

Crop	Region/ Indoor (a)	Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials relevant to the supported GAPs (b)	Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)	MRL proposal s (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg) (c)	STMR (mg/kg) (d)
Representative uses;						
Table and wine grapes	S-EU	RD for monitoring: <0.02, 0.021, 0.024, 0.046, 0.050, 0.051, 0.056, 0.056, 0.113, 0.122, 0.135, 0.137, 0.171, 0.176, 0.241, 0.359 RD for risk assessment: <0.02, 0.023, 0.025, 0.029, 0.041, 0.047, 0.050, 0.058, 0.058, 0.102, 0.118, 0.136, 0.145, 0.210, 0.211, 0.241, 0.436	Proportionality was applied since dose rates were -50% to +27% compared to cGAP. Some underdosed trials analysed for spinosyn A, B, D, K, but in none of these trials residues of spinosyn B and K were above the LOQ, hence scaling could not be applied to spinosyn B and K residues nor could a CF be derived from these trials. Therefore, a CF of 1.2 was applied to the whole data set. This CF was derived from the grape metabolism studies with spinosyn A and was used to convert residues of spinosyn B/K based on the trial results for spinosyn A. Calculated residues for spinosyn B/K ranged from 0.003 to 0.059 mg/kg. The values for the RD_{RA} are the sum of spinosyn A_{scaled}, spinosyn B/K_{calc} and spinosyn D_{scaled}. Confirmatory trials to determine actual	0.5	Pro- visional 0.436	Pro- visional 0.058

College voor de toelating van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en biociden

Advies vrijstelling 2024 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Crop	Region/ Indoor (a)	Residue levels (mg/kg) observed in the supervised residue trials relevant to the supported GAPs (b)	Recommendations/comments (OECD calculations)	MRL proposals (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg) (c)	STMR (mg/kg) (d)
			residue levels of spinosyn B and K are required (data gap).			
	N-EU	RD for monitoring: 8 x <0.02, 2 x 0.02 RD for risk assessment: -	Trials analysed only for spinosyn A and D, and not according to the RD _{RA} , hence a CF cannot be derived. The data set is insufficient, however the use in SEU is identified as the critical one, hence this data gap has no impact on the risk assessment and MRL proposal.	0.03	-	-

- (a): **NEU** or **SEU** for northern or southern **outdoor** trials in EU member states (**N+SEU** if both zones), **Indoor** for glasshouse/protected crops, **Country** if non-EU location.
- (b): Residue levels in trials conducted according to GAP reported in ascending order (e.g. 3x <0.01, 0.01, 6x 0.02, 0.04, 0.08, 3x 0.10, 2x 0.15, 0.17). When residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment differs, use **Mo/RA** to differentiate data expressed according to the residue definition for **Monitoring** and **Risk Assessment**.
- (c): **HR**: Highest residue. When residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment differs, HR according to residue definition for monitoring reported in brackets (HR_{Mo}).
- (d): **STMR**: Supervised Trials Median Residue. When residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment differs, STMR according to definition for monitoring reported in brackets (STMR_{Mo}).

Conversion Factors (CF) for monitoring to risk assessment

No conversion factors could be derived based on the metabolism studies. Field residue trials analysed for all components of the RA-RD are still required (data gap)

Processing factors (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.5.2 and 6.5.3)

OECD Guideline 508 and OECD Guidance, series on testing and assessment No 96

Crop (RAC)/Edible part or Crop (RAC)/Processed product	Number of studies ^(a)	Processing Factor (PF)		Conversion Factor (CF _p) for RA ^(b)
		Individual values	Median PF	
Representative uses				
Red wine, pomace	2	2, 2.3		-
Red wine, must	2	1, <0.067		-
Red wine, Wine at bottling	2	<1, <0.067		-
Red wine, wine after 4 moths	1	<1	Not sufficient data (data gap)	-

(a): Studies with residues in the RAC at or close to the LOQ should be disregarded (unless concentration)

(b): When the residue definition for risk assessment differs from the residue definition for monitoring

Proposed MRLs (Regulation (EU) No 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 6.7.2 and 6.7.3)

Code ^(a)	Commodity/Group	MRL/Import tolerance ^(b) (mg/kg) and Comments	
Representative uses			
0151000	Grapes	0.5	The MRL is derived from the data set on grapes SEU use.

(a): Commodity code number, as listed in Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005

(b): MRLs proposed at the LOQ, should be annotated by an asterisk (*) after the figure.

5.1. Summary of residue data

The assessment below is based on the Report of the 2001 JMPR FAO/WHO Meeting of Experts, the Reasoned opinion on the review of the existing maximum residue levels (MRLs) for spinosad according to Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 (EFSA Journal 2012;10(3):2630), the available residue trials evaluated in the Evaluation Reports on residues of spinosad in grapes (Ctgb, July 2014) and the Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance spinosad (EFSA Journal 2018;16(5):5252).

5.1.1 Metabolism in plants

Several primary crops metabolism studies were conducted separately with ¹⁴C-spinosyn A and ¹⁴Cspinosyn D among others upon foliar spray application in fruits (apple, tomatoes and grapes). Metabolism studies cover the uses on grapes, cherries and plums.

5.1.2 Metabolism in livestock

Since the crops of the intended uses are not normally fed to livestock, metabolism studies in livestock are not required for the current application.

5.1.3 Residue definition

Plant

Spinosad was discussed at the Pesticides Peer Review Experts meeting 171 in December 2017. The risk assessment residue definition in plants is provisionally proposed as: sum of spinosyn A, D, B, K, pending the outcome of residue levels for each representative crop from field trials analysed for the relevant compounds included in the risk assessment residue definition and their toxicological relevance. For monitoring, the residue definition in plants is proposed as sum of spinosyn A and D.

Animal

Since the crops of the intended uses are not normally fed to livestock, a residue definition for animal products is not applicable to the current application.

5.1.4 Stability of residues

Storage stability for spinosyns A, D, B, K residues has been demonstrated for the commodities in high water category and in high acid category and cover the uses on grapes, cherries and plums (refer to List of Endpoints).

5.1.5 Residue data

Cherries and plums

cGAP-NL: 2x0.096kg as/ha, interval 7d, PHI 7d

Currently, no acceptable residue trials in Northern Europe are available to support the outdoor use of Tracer on cherries and plums. However, eight trials on cherry and five trials in plums, conducted in the USA, indicate that residues of spinosad arising from the use of this crops will remain below the current MRL of 0.2 mg/kg, for cherries and plums. The growing conditions in Northern Europe are not considered to be so different that significantly different residue values are expected.

The following data are taken from the Report of the 2001 JMPR FAO/WHO Meeting of Experts (a Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group (JMPR)).

“GAP in the USA permits application of spinosad at 0.14 kg as/ha with harvesting 7 days after the final application for cherries, plums and prunes or 14 days for peach, nectarine and apricot. The

concentrations of residues, in ranked order, from eight trials on cherry that met GAP in the USA were: <0.02, 0.023, 0.03, 0.04, 0.06, 0.083 and 0.11 (2) mg/kg; those in seven trials on peach were: < 0.02 (3), 0.03, 0.05 and 0.055 (2) mg/kg; and those in five trials on plum were: < 0.02 (5) mg/kg. The Meeting agreed that cherries, peaches and plums represent the stone fruit group and that cherries and peaches would usually have the highest concentrations of residues in the group. Therefore, a maximum residue level could be recommended for stone fruit. The concentrations in ranked order in the 20 trials (median underlined) were: < 0.02 (9), 0.023, 0.03 (2), 0.04, 0.05, 0.055 (2), 0.06, 0.083 and 0.11 (2) mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.2 mg/kg and an STMR value of 0.03 mg/kg for spinosad in stone fruits.”

This recommendation resulted in internationally recommended CXLs adopted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission CXLs. The CXL of 0.2 mg/kg for cherries and plums is included as MRL in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.

The residue trial data available are considered sufficient to support the intended use on cherries and plums.

Wine grapes (outdoor)

cGAP-NL: 4x 0.0768 kg as/ha, interval 7 days, PHI=14 days

Six outdoor field trials in Germany (Northern Europe) with spinosad in wine grapes are available to support the intended use of Tracer on wine grapes. The trials were evaluated by Ctgb. Two trials were conducted in the same field, with the same variety. The field conditions and application were exactly the same. The trials can therefore not be considered as independent trials. All trials deviate from the GAP applied for, regarding the number of applications, application rate and spraying interval. In the trials, spinosad was applied five times: one application was made at petal fall and one before cluster close, followed by a third application 14 days later. The last two applications were made at 28-33 and 14 days prior to normal harvest. The total seasonal application rate in the trials was 0.326 – 0.382 kg as/ha, which is higher than the cGAP (0.3072 kg as/ha). However, the total seasonal application rate is of minor importance, since the residue levels at harvest appear to be determined by the final application (residues measured before the final application are below LOQ). Three out of five independent trials were conducted with a final application of 0.048 kg as/ha deviating more than 25% from the intended GAP. Only two trials were conducted with a final application of 0.080 kg as/ha, sufficiently reflecting the final application rate of the cGAP. In both trials residues of spinosad were below the LOQ.

It is noted that the intended use of *4x 76.8 g as/ha, interval 7 days, PHI=14 days* is comparable to the representative uses on grapes in northern Europe evaluated during the peer review (*3 x 96 g as/ha,, interval 7 days, BBCH 12-89, PHI 14 days*). Sufficient data are available according to the residue definition for monitoring. For the renewal of the active substance spinosad the data set in NEU was considered insufficient since trials were analysed only for spinosyn A and D, and not according to the residue definition for risk assessment. However the use in SEU was identified as the critical one (refer to List of Endpoints), hence the data gap had no impact on the risk assessment and MRL proposal.

The residue trial data available are considered sufficient to support the intended use on grapes.

5.1.6 Residues in succeeding crops

Since grapes, cherries and plums are not grown in crop rotation with other crops, the investigation of residues in rotational crops is not required.

5.1.7 Residues from livestock feeding studies

Since grapes, cherries and plums are not normally fed to livestock, the magnitude of spinosad residues in livestock was not assessed in the framework of this application.

5.1.8 Processing factors

The submitted studies for the renewal of spinosad cover data gaps identified during the Art. 12 MRL review (EFSA, 2012): hydrolysis studies in processed commodities. During the peer review transfer factors for grapes were derived (see List of Endpoints).

5.1.9 Calculation of the ADI and the ARfD

The ADI is part of the List of Endpoints for Human Toxicology and is set at 0.024 mg/kg bw/day based on the NOAEL of the 2y oral study with rats and using a default safety factor of 100.

An ARfD was not set for spinosad in Commission Directive 2007/6/EC. It is however highlighted that in the EFSA conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance spinosad an acute reference dose (ARfD) of 0.1 mg/kg bw was derived.

5.2 Maximum Residue Levels

MRLs for spinosad are published in Regulation (EU) 2015/603 amending Annexes II, III and V to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. The regulation applies from 7 December 2015. The current MRLs for spinosad in cherries and plums is 0.2 mg/kg and for spinosad in grapes is 0.5 mg/kg, which is considered sufficient to cover the intended uses.

5.3 Consumer risk assessment

Risk assessment for chronic exposure through diet

In the framework of the review of the existing MRLs for spinosad according to Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 a comprehensive long-term exposure assessment was performed taking into account only the existing uses of spinosad at EU level supported by data and the existing acceptable CXLs (EFSA Journal 2012;10 (3):2630). The consumer risk assessment was performed with revision 2 of the EFSA Pesticide Residues Intake Model (PRIMo). EFSA updated the risk assessment in a Reasoned Opinion (EFSA Journal 2013;11(11):3447). The total calculated intake accounted for 62 % of the ADI (WHO Cluster diet B).

It is highlighted that EFSA has recently published the conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance spinosad under Regulation (EC) No 1077/2009. In the EFSA conclusion, revised residue definitions for risk assessment were proposed on a provisional basis. An indicative consumer risk assessment was conducted for the representative uses on onions, grapes, lettuce, aubergines, peppers and tomatoes considering the residue levels from field trials analysed according to the risk assessment residue definition by using EFSA PRIMo rev.2. The chronic intake was below the ADI, max. theoretical maximum daily intake (TMDI) 15.1% (WHO Cluster diet B). An additional consumer risk assessment using the same approach as for the representative uses was conducted for all the intended uses supported by sufficient data and the EU representative uses whenever more critical. The chronic (TMDI) and acute dietary intakes (IESTI) were below the ADI for all considered European consumer groups (max. ADI 15.3% WHO Cluster diet B).

Risk assessment for acute exposure through diet

An ARfD was not set for spinosad in Commission Directive 2007/6/EC. However, in the meantime, in the framework of the renewal of the approval of spinosad (EU pesticides peer review) an ARfD of 0.1 mg/kg bw was proposed (EFSA, 2018), which has not yet been formally adopted by the European Commission.

An indicative consumer risk assessment is conducted according to the residue definition for plant and animal products derived in the MRL review and based on the highest residue levels expected for grapes, cherries and plums. The calculated short-term exposure to residues in did not exceed the ARfD. The maximum acute intake (IESTI) accounted for 24% ARfD for table grapes.

It is highlighted that the consumer risk assessment should be regarded as provisional considering all the data gaps identified, and the limited number of the field trials analysed

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

according to the proposed risk assessment residue definition. For commodities for which MRLs have been established previously, EFSA also recommends a re-evaluation taking into account the results of the acute risk assessment based on the recently derived ARfD.

Conclusion

The data available are considered sufficient for risk assessment. Exceedance of the current MRLs of 0.2 mg/kg for spinosad in cherries and plums and 0.5 mg/kg for spinosad in grapes as laid down in Reg. (EU) 396/2005 is not expected.

Based on an indicative consumer risk assessment, no risk for the consumer due to the intended use of the product Tracer on grapes, cherries and plums is expected.

DEEL 6 – Beoordeling Fate and behaviour [Ctgb]

Conclusie:

Op basis van de onderstaande beoordeling kan geconcludeerd worden dat de werkzame stof spinosad voldoet aan de eisen zoals opgenomen in de Bgb met betrekking tot persistentie in de bodem, uitspoeling naar grondwater en de aanwezigheid in oppervlaktewater bestemd voor de bereiding van drinkwater. De metabolieten spinosyn B van spinosyn A en N-demethylated spinosyn D van spinosyn D voldoen aan de eisen zoals opgenomen in de Bgb met betrekking tot persistentie in de bodem en uitspoeling naar grondwater.

Voor het aspect milieu-gedrag en lot kan een **positief advies** worden gegeven.

Background

It concerns an application of the plant protection product Tracer for an emergency situation as an insecticide in the uses listed in Table M.0 based on the submitted GAP.

Table M.0 Overview of intended use

Use	Application rate [kg a.s./ha]	Frequency	Interval [days]	Time of application *
kers (F)	0.096	2	7	BBCH 77-89 (mei-august)
pruim (F)	0.096	2	7	BBCH 79-89 (juni-sept)
druif (F)	0.0384	4	10	BBCH 79-89 (juli-oktober)

The following drift reducing sentence is submitted at the draft label:

Om in het water levende organismen te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen, pruimen en druiven in percelen die grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de insteek van de sloot / perceelgrens.

6 Environmental fate and behaviour

Risk assessment is done in accordance with Chapter 2 of the RGB published in the Government Gazette (Staatscourant) 188 of 28 September 2007, including the updates of 20 October 2009 (which came into effect on 1 January 2010) and 18 April 2011 (which came into effect on 23 April 2011).

The list of endpoints of a.s. spinosad is derived from the EFSA conclusion (EFSA Journal 2018;16(4):5252).

6.1 Fate and behaviour in soil

6.1.1 Persistence in soil

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Article 2.8 of the *Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations* (RGB) describes the authorisation criterion persistence. If for the evaluation of the product a higher tier risk assessment is necessary, a standard is to be set according to the MPC-INS¹ method. Currently this method equals the method described in the Technical Guidance Document (TGD). Additional guidance is presented in RIVM²-report 601782001/2007³.

Preceding the harmonisation of the persistence assessment in The Netherlands with regulation 1107/2009 EG, the EU approach for persistence assessment is followed.

For the current application this means the following:

Spinosyn A

Laboratory DT₅₀-values are available for the active substance spinosyn A ranging 7.28-51.5 days (geomean 21.0 days). The mean DT₅₀-value of the a.s. can thus be established to be <90 days. Furthermore it can be excluded that after 100 days there will be more than 70% of the initial dose present as bound (non-extractable) residues together with the formation of less than 5% of the initial dose as CO₂. Additionally, field soil dissipation values are available with DT₅₀-values ranging 4.9-100 days (geomean 27.4 days). In this way, the standards for persistence as laid down in the RGB are met.

Spinosyn D

Laboratory DT₅₀-values are available for the active substance spinosyn D ranging 8.3-113.8 days (geomean 35.0 days). The mean DT₅₀-value of the a.s. can thus be established to be <90 days. Furthermore it can be excluded that after 100 days there will be more than 70% of the initial dose present as bound (non-extractable) residues together with the formation of less than 5% of the initial dose as CO₂. Additionally, field soil dissipation values are available with DT₅₀-values ranging 7.6-104 days (geomean 25.3 days). In this way, the standards for persistence as laid down in the RGB are met.

For the metabolite spinosyn B DT₅₀-values are available ranging 33.3-146 days (geomean 66.1 days). For the metabolite N-demethylated spinosyn D DT₅₀-values are available ranging 36.92-201 (geomean 72.8 days).

Due to the exceeding of the threshold value of 60 days for the geomean DT₅₀ (lab) for metabolite spinosyn B and DT₅₀ for metabolite N-demethylated spinosyn D, it must be demonstrated by means of field dissipation studies that the field DT₅₀ is < 90 days. The following field data are provided: spinosyn B 2.11 d and N-demethylated spinosyn D 3.77 d.

From the results it is shown that the mean field DT₅₀ is < 90 days. Therefore, the standards for persistence as laid down in the RGB are met. Due to the fact that photolysis plays an important role in the dissipation of spinosad and metabolites, it can be expected that under field conditions dissipation half-lives are much shorter than under dark laboratory conditions. This is confirmed by the one available field study. There is no need to require more field studies for further confirmation.

PECsoil

The concentration of the spinosad in soil is needed to assess the risk for soil organisms (earthworms, micro-organisms). The PECsoil for spray applications is calculated for the upper 5 cm of soil using a soil bulk density of 1500 kg/m³.

¹ INS: international and national quality standards for substances in the Netherlands.

² RIVM: National institute of public health and the environment.

³ 601782001/2007: P.L.A. van Vlaardingen and E.M.J. Verbruggen, Guidance for the derivation of environmental risk limits within the framework of 'International and national environmental quality standards for substances in the Netherlands' (INS). Revision 2007'.

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

As the logPow of the substance is > 3 (Log Pow at pH 7 is for spinosyn A: 4.01 and for spinosyn D: 4.53), a PEC_{21days} is needed for the assessment of secondary poisoning of birds and mammals through the consumption of earthworms.

PECsoil values are calculated for the field use in cherries, plums and grapes.

The following input data are used for the calculation:

PEC soil:

Active substance spinosyn A:

Maximum field DT_{50} for degradation in soil (SFO): 100 days

Molecular mass: 731.98 g/mol

Active substance spinosyn D:

Maximum lab DT_{50} for degradation in soil (DFOP):

k1: 0.0734

k2: 0.0061

g: 0.488 days

Molecular mass: 746.00 g/mol

Metabolite spinosyn B (metabolite from spinosyn A):

Maximum lab DT_{50} for degradation in soil (SFO, 20°C): 146 days

Molecular mass: 717.93 g/mol

Correction factor: 67 (maximum observed percentage) * 0.98 (relative molar ratio = M metabolite/M parent) = 0.66

Metabolite N-demethylated spinosyn D (metabolite from spinosyn D):

Maximum lab DT_{50} for degradation in soil (SFO, 20°C): 201 days

Molecular mass: 731.98 g/mol

Correction factor: 68 (maximum observed percentage) * 0.98 (relative molar ratio = M metabolite/M parent) = 0.67

See Table M.1 for other input values and results.

Table M.1 PECsoil calculations for active substances spinosyn A and spinosyn D and metabolites spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D (5 cm)

Use	Substance	Rate [kg a.s./ha]	Freq.	Interval [days]	Fraction intercepted *	PIEC _{soil} [mg a.s./kg] (5 cm)	PEC _{soil,21d} [mg a.s./kg] (5 cm)
Cherries, plums	spinosyn A	0.0816	2	7	0.65	0.074	0.069
	spinosyn D	0.0144				0.012	0.009
	spinosyn B	0.0539				0.049	0.047
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	0.0096				0.009	0.009
Grapes	spinosyn A	0.0653**	4	7	0.75	0.081	0.075
	spinosyn D	0.0115				0.011	0.008
	spinosyn B	0.0429**				0.054	0.052
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	0.0077				0.010	0.010

* interception values derived from Table 1.4 in "generic guidance for FOCUS groundwater scenarios" (version 2.2, 2014). An interception of 0.65 is appropriate for cherry at growth stage BBCH 76-89 (based on apples). An interception of 0.75 is appropriate for grapes at growth stage BBCH 76-89 (based on vines).

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

** PECsoil for use in grapes is calculated based on a worst case GAP

These exposure concentrations are examined against ecotoxicological threshold values in section 7.5.

6.1.2 Leaching to shallow groundwater

Article 2.9 of the *Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations* (RGB) describes the authorisation criterion leaching to groundwater.

The leaching potential of the active substance spinosad (spinosyn A and D) and metabolites spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D is calculated in the first tier using PEARL 5.5.5 and the FOCUS Kremsmünster scenario. Input variables are the actual worst-case application rate 0.096 kg/ha (85% spinosyn A and 15% spinosyn D) for cherries and plums and 0.0384 kg/ha (85% spinosyn A and 15% spinosyn D) for grapes, the crop (winter cereals, default for cherries and plums, and vines for grapes) and an interception value appropriate to the crop of 0.65 for cherries and plums and 0.75 for grapes. First date of yearly application is May 25th for the spring scenario regarding cherries and plums, September 23rd regarding autumn scenario for plums, July 1st for the spring scenario regarding grapes and October 1st for the autumn scenario regarding grapes.

Metabolites spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D are included in the calculations. No other metabolites occurred above > 10 % of AR, > 5 % of AR at two consecutive sample points or had an increasing tendency.

The following input data are used for the calculation:

PEARL:

Active substance spinosyn A:

Geometric mean normalised DT₅₀ for degradation in soil (20°C): 22.9 days

Geometric mean K_{om} (pH-independent): 1859 L/kg

Arithmetic mean 1/n: 0.86

Saturated vapour pressure: 1 x 10⁻⁵ Pa (25 °C)

Solubility in water: 0.498 g/L (20 °C)

Molecular weight: 732 g/mol

Plant uptake factor: 0

Q10: 2.58

Active substance spinosyn D:

Geometric mean normalised DT₅₀ for degradation in soil (20°C): 31.2 days

Geometric mean K_{om} (pH-independent): 1727 L/kg

Arithmetic mean 1/n: 0.82

Saturated vapour pressure: 4 x 10⁻⁶ Pa (25°C)

Solubility in water: 0.04 g/L (20°C)

Molecular weight: 746 g/mol

Plant uptake factor: 0

Q10: 2.58

Metabolite spinosyn B from spinosyn A:

Geometric mean normalised DT₅₀ for degradation in soil (20°C): 66.1 days

Geometric mean K_{om} (pH-independent): 1459 L/kg

Arithmetic mean 1/n: 0.83

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Arithmetic mean formation fraction: 0.76

Saturated vapour pressure: 1×10^{-5} Pa (25°C, parent value)

Solubility in water: 250 g/L (20°C)

Molecular weight: 718 g/mol

Plant uptake factor: 0.0 (default for metabolites)

Q10: 2.58

Metabolite N-demethylated spinosyn D from spinosyn D:

Geometric mean DT_{50} for degradation in soil (20°C): 72.8 days

Geometric mean K_{om} (pH-independent): 1114 L/kg

Arithmetic mean $1/n$: 0.76

Arithmetic mean formation fraction: 0.647

Saturated vapour pressure: 4×10^{-6} Pa (25°C; parent value)

Solubility in water: 0.512 g/L (20°C)

Molecular mass: 732 g/mol

Plant uptake factor: 0

Q10: 2.58

Other parameters: standard settings of PEARL 5.5.5

The following concentrations are predicted for the active substance spinosad and the metabolites spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D following the realistic worst case GAP, see Table M.2.

Table M.2 Leaching of active substance spinosad (85% spinosyn A and 15% spinosyn D) and metabolites spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D as predicted by PEARL 5.5.5

Use	Substance	Rate substance [kg/ha]	Frequency / Interval [days]	Fraction Intercepted *	PEC groundwater [µg/L]	
					Spring	autumn
Cherries, plums	spinosyn A	0.0816	2 / 7	0.65	<0.001	<0.001
	spinosyn B	**			<0.001	<0.001
	spinosyn D	0.0144			<0.001	<0.001
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	**			<0.001	<0.001
Grapes	spinosyn A	0.03264	4 / 7	0.75	<0.001	<0.001
	spinosyn B	**			<0.001	<0.001
	spinosyn D	0.00576			<0.001	<0.001
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	**			<0.001	<0.001

* interception values derived from Table 1.4 in "generic guidance for FOCUS groundwater scenarios" (version 2.2, 2014). An interception of 0.65 is appropriate for cherry at growth stage BBCH 76-89 (based on apples). An interception of 0.75 is appropriate for grapes at growth stage BBCH 86-89 (based on vines).

** calculated with transformation scheme

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Results of Pearl 5.5.5 using the Kremsmünster scenario are examined against the standard of 0.01 µg/L. This is the standard of 0.1 µg/L with an additional safety factor of 10 for vulnerable groundwater protection areas (NL-specific situation).

From Table M.2 it reads that the expected leaching based on the PEARL-model calculations for the active substance spinosad (85% spinosyn A and 15% spinosyn D) and for both metabolites is smaller than 0.01 µg/L for all proposed applications. Hence, the applications meet the standards for leaching as laid down in the RGB.

Lysimeter/field leaching studies

No lysimeter studies standardized to NL conditions are presented in the RAR.

Monitoring data

Article 2.10b of the *Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations* (RGB) describes the use of the 90th percentile.

The active substance spinosad (sum of isomers) was observed in groundwater. Data are derived from the Groundwater Atlas for pesticides, version 4.3.2. There are 263 results available in the groundwater atlas, from 106 sites (screen depth 1.93 to 180 meter below soil surface) sampled from 2009-2021. Descriptive statistics are presented in Table M.3.

Table M.3: Monitoring data in Dutch groundwater for spinosad (sum of isomers) from Ground Water Atlas (v. 1.1)

	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOR	≤ 0.1 µg/L	> 0.1 µg/L
Number of measurement result per category	0	259	4	0	0
Minimum value per category (µg/l)		0.05	0.05		
Maximum value per category (µg/l)		0.10	0.05		

Conclusions

The active substance spinosad and its metabolites comply with the requirements laid down in the RGB concerning persistence in soil.

The proposed applications of the product comply with the requirements laid down in the RGB concerning leaching in soil.

6.2 Fate and behaviour in water

6.2.1 Rate and route of degradation in surface water

Article 2.10c of the *Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations* (RGB) prescribes the use of Dutch specific drift percentages.

The exposure concentrations of the active substance spinosad in surface water have been estimated for the various proposed uses using calculations of surface water concentrations (in a ditch of 30 cm depth), which originate from spray drift during application of the active substance. The spray drift percentage depends on the use.

From 2022 onwards, an updated drift dataset is to be used as included in the Wageningen Drift calculator (see Evaluation Manual Chapter 6, surface water and sediment, NL, part, version 2.6).

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

In addition, it is laid down in the Evaluation Manual that for woody soft fruit the crop free zone should always be specified on the label, since the minimum crop free zone of 3 meter is not explicitly stated in the Activity Decree, but is used as a basis for the drift deposition values used for the assessment of woody soft fruit.

The following drift reducing sentences are submitted, between brackets the corresponding drift deposition values are mentioned.

Om in het water levende organismen te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen, pruimen en druiven in percelen die grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de insteek van de sloot / perceelgrens.

This corresponds to 0.10 % spray drift deposition for the full-leaf stage (from May onwards, which is in line with the proposed uses.

Calculations are performed using the appropriate drift deposition value for the requested mitigation options.

Concentrations in surface water are calculated using the model TOXSWA. The following input data are used for the calculation:

TOXSWA:

Active substance:

Geomean DT₅₀ for degradation in water at 20°C: 1000 days (default, in line with EFSA conclusion).

DT₅₀ for degradation in sediment at 20°C: 126 days (geomean of spinosyn A+D whole system DT₅₀)

Geometric mean K_{om} for suspended organic matter: 1859 L/kg (value of spinosyn A)

Geometric mean K_{om} for sediment: 1859 L/kg

Arithmetic mean 1/n: 0.86

Saturated vapour pressure: 1 x 10⁻⁵ Pa (25°C; value of spinosyn A)

Solubility in water: 0.498 g/L (20°C; value of spinosyn A)

Molecular weight: 732 g/mol, value of spinosyn A

Other parameters: standard settings TOXSWA

When no separate degradation half-lives (DegT₅₀ values) are available for the water and sediment compartment (accepted level P-II values), the system degradation half-life (DegT₅₀-system, level P-I) is used as input for the degrading compartment and a default value of 1000 days is to be used for the compartment in which no degradation is assumed. This is in line with the recommendations in the FOCUS Guidance Document on Degradation Kinetics.

In Table M.5a, the drift percentages and calculated surface water concentrations for the active substance spinosad for each intended use are presented.

Table M.5a Overview of surface water concentrations for active substance spinosad in the edge-of-field ditch following spring application (original GAP)

Use	Rate a.s. [kg/ha]	Freq./ Interval [days]	Drift [%]	PIEC [µg/L] *	PEC21 [µg/L] *	PEC28 [µg/L] *
Cherries, plums	0.096	2 / 7	0.10**	0.0762	0.0573	0.0533

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Grapes	0.0384	4/10	0.10**	0.0475	0.0362	0.0342
--------	--------	------	--------	--------	--------	--------

* calculated according to TOXSWA

** full leaf, DRT99 in combination with a crop free zone of 300 cm

The exposure concentrations in surface water are compared to the ecotoxicological threshold values in section 7.2.

Monitoring data

Article 2.10 of the *Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations* (RGB) describes the use of the 90th percentile.

Data from the Pesticide Atlas are used to evaluate potential exceedances of the authorisation threshold and environmental quality standards (MKN in Dutch, data source <http://www.rivm.nl/rvs/Normen>). These environmental quality standards consist either of the harmonised WFD thresholds derived according to the Fraunhofer methodology⁴ (AA-EQS and MAC-EQS) or of an MPC value (which is usually derived on the basis of outdated guidance).

The Pesticide Atlas includes a statistical correlation analysis between concentrations, threshold exceedance and land use, which may indicate probable relationships.

Active substance spinosad

The active substance spinosad ('groepsstof' = sum of isomers) was measured in the surface water (most recent data from 2022). There are no separate data on spinosyn A or D.

In Table M.6 the number of observations in the surface water is presented.

The authorisation threshold equals 0.12 µg a.s./L (consisting of first or higher tier acute or chronic ecotoxicological threshold value, including relevant safety factors, which is used for risk assessment, in this case 0.1*NOEC Daphnia).

The relevant EQS for this substance is the MPC and equals 0.024 µg/L. The currently available MPC value is reported here for information purposes when no EQS values are available.

Table M.6 Monitoring data in Dutch surface water for Spinosad (*groepsstof*) for 2022 (from www.pesticidesatlas.nl)

Total no of locations (2022)	n > authorisation threshold	n > EQS		
		MAC-EQS	AA-EQS	MPC (ad-hoc/indicative)
450*	5	n.a.	n.a.	18 (8 > 5 * threshold)

* the number of observations at each location varies between 1 and 30, total number of measurements is 3674 in 2022.

** n.a. not available

The exceedance of the WFD water quality standards AA-EQS and MAC-EQS (and MPC in case no EQS have been derived), is from 2016 onwards addressed by the ERP (Emission

⁴ P.L.A. van Vlaardingen and E.M.J. Verbruggen, Guidance for the derivation of environmental risk limits within the framework of 'International and national environmental quality standards for substances in the Netherlands' (INS). Revision 2007'. RIVM report 601782001.

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Reduction Plan), which is carried out under responsibility of the Ministry of Infrastructure and Environment (I&M). Ctgb has no active role in this process. The outcome of the ERP may lead to label changes on request of the applicant.

However, Ctgb is the responsible authority to address exceedance of the authorisation threshold.

Several locations show an exceedance of the authorisation threshold.

Therefore it is assessed whether there is a correlation between the observed exceedance and land use types. The correlation analysis as included in the Pesticide Atlas uses a progressive three-year period to assess whether there is a relation. The last three available years, in this case 2020 - 2022 are used to establish the relation.

The observed exceedance of the authorisation threshold is significantly (*Sterk*) correlated to the proposed uses in cherries, plums and grapes (*Fruitteelt*).

It cannot be excluded that the observed exceedance of the authorisation threshold is related to the use in cherries plums and grapes Therefore, an adequate risk assessment is needed to show that the observed exceedance of Spinosad (groepstof) of the authorisation threshold measured in surface water is not related to the applied for use in cherries, plums and grapes.

The applicant provided the following additional explanation (in Dutch):

De vrijstellingsaanvraag is ingediend voor de gewassen zoete kers, zure kers, pruimen en druiven.

De arealen volgens het CBS per provincie waren in 2023. Het areaal fruit en waar de teelt plaats vindt verschuift per jaar door de meerjarigheid beperkt. Onderstaande arealen per provincie zijn dan ook representatief voor 2022 en 2024

Oppervlakte pruim, zoete kers, zure kers en druif per provincie in 2023 in hectare						
	<i>pruimen</i>	<i>zoete kers</i>	<i>zure kers</i>	<i>Druif</i>	<i>totaal</i>	<i>percentage</i>
<i>Vier noordelijke prov.</i>	5,5	9,4	0,0	25,6	40,5	3%
<i>Flevoland</i>	3,9	18,7	0,0	5,2	27,8	2%
<i>Gelderland</i>	144,2	257,8	2,3	49,7	454,0	36%
<i>Utrecht</i>	11,0	65,6	0,1	1,8	78,6	6%
<i>Noord-Holland</i>	12,8	12,0	0,2	6,8	31,9	3%
<i>Zuid-Holland</i>	4,6	21,6	0,0	2,2	28,5	2%
<i>Zeeland</i>	14,8	36,1	8,4	25,2	84,4	7%
<i>Noord-Brabant</i>	7,4	64,0	0,3	35,9	107,6	9%
<i>Limburg</i>	56,7	68,5	181,2	92,7	399,2	32%
Totaal	261,0	553,7	192,5	245,1	1.252,4	100%

Bron: CBS

In de periode 2018-2020 is de correlatie tussen de concentratie spinosad in het oppervlaktewater en het landgebruik fruitteelt aanwezig

In de periode 2019-2021 is de correlatie tussen de concentratie spinosad in het oppervlaktewater en het landgebruik fruitteelt niet aanwezig


In de periode 2020-2022 is de correlatie tussen de concentratie spinosad in het oppervlaktewater en het landgebruik fruitteelt zeer sterk

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Uit bovenstaande gegevens blijkt dat de metingen in het jaar 2022 zorgen voor de correlatie met de fruitteelt in zijn totaliteit.

In onderstaande afbeelding van de bestrijdingsmiddelen atlas staan de normoverschrijdingen van de bestrijdingsmiddelen atlas. Het betreft de overschrijdingen van het toelatingscriterium van 2022. De eerste is het landelijke overzicht. Hierop is te zien dat op die plaatsen in Nederland de normen worden overschreden in Flevoland, in het Westland (zuid-Holland) en in de regio Venlo (Limburg). De tweede afbeelding betreft een inzoom op de regio Venlo.

In de belangrijkste fruitprovincie in Nederland, Gelderland is op geen enkel meetpunt in 2022 spinosad aangetroffen, zelfs niet onder de norm. Ook in 2020 en 2021 is in Gelderland geen spinosad aangetroffen.



Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Response Ctgb:

In summary, the applicant provides CBS land use information concerning the spatial distribution of fruit culture areas (2023, distinguished between sweet/sour cherry, plum, grapes) for the different provinces of the Netherlands. This data shows that overall the main concentration of fruit culture lays within the Province of Gelderland. In this province no exceedance of the authorization threshold is observed. Furthermore the applicant concludes that the year 2022 is most determining for the observed correlation between land use and measured concentrations, based on a comparison of the different time windows for the correlation (2018-2020, 2019-2021, 2020-2022) and therefore has provided illustrations of the exceeding locations in 2022. For the two locations with an exceedance observed in the Province of Limburg a further figure is provided but not further explained.

The applicant concludes that there is no exceedance in the key fruit-producing province of Gelderland, Netherlands.

Ctgb considers the information and argumentation provided valid.

Ctgb agrees that for plums and sweet cherries the cultivation is clearly concentrated in Gelderland, where no exceedance is observed (this was also verified for the year 2020 and 2021).

However, it is noted that for sour cherries and grapes the cultivation area is even higher in the province of Limburg, where two locations with threshold exceedance (with a factor >5) was observed near Venlo. The provided zoom-in of this area shows that the exceedance appears to be more closely related to greenhouse uses (grey) and vegetables (dark green) and not fruit culture (purple).

For the other exceeding locations in 2022, Ctgb is of the opinion that there are most likely related to greenhouse uses (the Westland area) and to leek (Noordoostpolder), land uses for which a stronger correlation with the threshold exceedance is observed.

Overall, Ctgb agrees to the additional assessment.

In conclusion, the additional assessment is considered acceptable and the observed exceedance has no consequences for the now proposed uses.

Drinking water criterion

Article 2.10b of the *Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations* (RGB) describes the use of the 90th percentile.

It follows from the decision of the Court of Appeal on Trade and Industry of 19 August 2005 (Awb 04/37 (General Administrative Law Act)) that when considering an application, the Ctgb should, on the basis of the scientific and technical knowledge and taking into account the data submitted with the application, also judge the application according to the drinking water criterion 'surface water intended for drinking water production'.

The assessment methodology followed is developed by the WG implementation drinking water criterion and outlined in Alterra report 1635⁵.

Substances are categorized as new substances on the Dutch market (less than 3 years authorisation) or existing substances on the Dutch market (authorised for more than 3 years).

- For new substances, a preregistration calculation is performed.
- For existing substances, the assessment is based on monitoring data of VEWIN (drinking water board).
 - o If for an existing substance based on monitoring data no problems are expected by VEWIN, Ctgb follows this VEWIN assessment.

⁵ Adriaanse et al. (2008). Development of an assessment methodology to evaluate agricultural use of plant protection products for drinking water production from surface waters - A proposal for the registration procedure in the Netherlands. Alterra-Report 1635

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

- If for an existing substance based on monitoring data a potential problem is identified by VEWIN, Ctgb assesses whether the 90th percentile of the monitoring data meet the drinking water criterion at each individual drinking water abstraction point.

Active substance spinosad has been on the Dutch market for > 3 years (authorised since 13/09/2002). This period is sufficiently large to consider the market share to be established. From the general scientific knowledge collected by the Ctgb about the product and its active substance, the Ctgb concludes that there are in this case no concrete indications for concern about the consequences of this product for surface water from which drinking water is produced, when used in compliance with the directions for use. The Ctgb does under this approach expect no exceeding of the drinking water criterion. The standards for surface water destined for the production of drinking water as laid down in the RGB are met.

6.3 Fate and behaviour in air

Route and rate of degradation in air

Active substance spinosad (spinosyn A and spinosyn D)

The vapour pressure is 1×10^{-5} respectively 4×10^{-6} Pa at 25°C. The Henry constant is 1×10^{-3} , respectively 6.3×10^{-3} Pa.m³.mol at 20°C (unbuffered). The half-life in air is 18 and 17 minutes respectively.

Since at present there is no framework to assess fate and behaviour in air of plant protection products, for the time being this issue is not taken into consideration.

6.4 Appropriate fate and behaviour end-points relating to the product and approved uses

See List of End-points.

6.5 Data requirements

-

6.6 Overall conclusions fate and behaviour

It can be concluded that:

1. the active substance spinosad meets the standards for persistence in soil as laid down in the RGB.
2. the metabolites spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D meet the standards for persistence in soil as laid down in the RGB.
3. the proposed application of the active substance spinosad meets the standards for leaching to the shallow groundwater as laid down in the RGB.
4. the proposed application of metabolite spinosyn B and N-demethylated spinosyn D meets the standards for leaching to the shallow groundwater as laid down in the RGB.
5. all proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for surface water destined for the production of drinking water.

DEEL 7 – Beoordeling Ecotoxiciteit [Ctgb]
--

Because the emergency application is done after the 1st of January 2022, the drift values from the Wageningen Drift Calculator (WDC) will be applied in the risk assessment for aquatic organisms, bees, non-target arthropods and non-target plants. Furthermore a Vegetation Distribution Factor of 5 instead of 10 will be applied in the risk assessment for non-target arthropods. This applies to product dossiers submitted from the 1st of January 2020.

List of Endpoints Ecotoxicology

The list of endpoints of a.s. spinosad is derived from the EFSA conclusion (EFSA Journal 2018;16(4):5252).

List of Endpoints Ecotoxicology

- Spinosad = a.s. = mixture of 50-95% of Spinosyn A and 50-5% Spinosyn D.
- Tracer = NAF-85 = 480 g/L SC formulation. NAF 85 typically contains 85% spinosyn A and 15% spinosyn D.

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Ecotoxicology

Effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.1 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.1)

Species	Test substance	Time scale	End point	Toxicity (mg/kg bw (per day))
Birds				
<i>Colinus virginianus</i>	XDE-105 (88% spinosyn A and D)	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 2000*
<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	XDE-105 (88% spinosyn A and D)	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 2000*
<i>Both species</i>	a.s.	Long-term	LD ₅₀ /10	200
<i>Colinus virginianus</i>	XDE-105 (88% spinosyn A and D)	Long-term	NOAEL EC ₁₀	68.54 ² 116.15
<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	XDE-105 (88% spinosyn A and D)	Long-term	NOAEL EC ₁₀ , eggs/pen Lower C.I. EC ₁₀ eggs/pen	78.06 ³ 75.29 44.8
Mammals				
<i>Rat</i>	a.s.	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 2000
<i>Mus</i>	Spinosyn B	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 2000
<i>Mus</i>	Spinosyn K	Acute	LD ₅₀	> 2000
<i>Rat</i>	a.s.	Long-term	NOAEL	10
Endocrine disrupting properties (Annex Part A, points 8.1.5)				
The available data were not sufficient to address the potential endocrine disrupting properties of spinosad for non-target organisms. Pending on the data gap in Section 2, further consideration of the endocrine potential of spinosad might be needed.				
Additional higher tier studies (Annex Part A, points 10.1.1.2):				
<i>Residues in turf were measured to determine a DT₅₀ of 3.02 days to refine the chronic risk to mammals.</i>				
<i>Residues in fruits and fruiting vegetables from the residues section were used to calculate a DT₅₀ of 3.75 days to refine the chronic risk to mammals:-</i>				
Terrestrial vertebrate wildlife (birds, mammals, reptile and amphibians) (Annex Part A, points 8.1.4, 10.1.3):				
<i>No data was provided or available.</i>				

2 Converted to daily dose accounting for a mean body weight of 210.17 g and feed consumption of 26.19 g feed/bird/d of the birds exposed at the 550 mg/kg diet treatment.

3 Converted to daily dose accounting for a mean body weight of 1149.5 g and feed consumption of 162.9 g feed/bird/d of the birds exposed at the 550 mg/kg diet treatment.

* Maximum dose tested

Bold values used in risk assessment

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Toxicity data for all aquatic tested species (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 8.2 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.2)

*This section does not yet reflect the new EFSA Guidance Document on aquatic organisms which has been noted in the meeting of the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed on 11 July 2014.

Group	Test substance	Time-scale (Test type)	End point	Toxicity ¹
Laboratory tests				
Fish				
Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	a.s.	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	27 mg a.s./L _(nom)
Bluegill sunfish (<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>)	a.s.	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	5.8 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	a.s.	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	5.3 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	a.s.	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	4.0 mg a.s./L _(nom)
Sheepshead minnow (<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>)	a.s.	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	7.6 mg a.s./L _(nom)

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Group	Test substance	Time-scale (Test type)	End point	Toxicity ¹
Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	NAF-85	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	> 100 mg prep./L (> 49 mg a.s./L _(nom))
Zebra fish (<i>Brachydanio rerio</i>)	NAF-85	Acute 96 hr (static)	Mortality, LC ₅₀	> 125 mg prep./L (> 56 mg a.s./L _(nom))
Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	a.s.	21 d Chronic (flow- through)	reproduction NOEC	1.2 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	a.s.	80 d Chronic (flow- through)	reproduction NOEC	0.5 mg a.s./L _(nom)
Sheepshead minnow (<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>)	a.s.	35 d Chronic (flow- through)	reproduction NOEC	1.2 mg a.s./L _(nom)
Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>)	a.s.	110 d Chronic (flow- through)	reproduction NOEC EC ₁₀ , F0 fish standard length EC ₁₀ , F0 fish body weight	0.217 mg a.s./L _(mm) 0.508 mg a.s./L _(mm) 0.382 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Aquatic invertebrates				
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	a.s.	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	> 1.14 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	a.s.	48 h (semi- static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	7.3 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	a.s.	48 h (static- renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	> 32 µg a.s./L (mm)
Grass shrimp (<i>Palaemonetes pugio</i>)	a.s.	96 h (semi- static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	> 9.7 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Eastern oyster (<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>)	a.s.	96 h (flow- through)	Shell deposition, EC ₅₀	0.295 mg a.s./L _(mm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	Spinosyn B	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	6.5 mg ma/L _(mm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	Spinosyn B	48 h (semi- static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	6.9 mg ma/L _(mm)
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	Spinosyn B	48 h (static- renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	8.5 µg ma/L _(mm)
Mysid shrimp (<i>Americamysis bahia</i>)	Spinosyn B	96 h (flow- through)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	2.20 mg ma/L _(mm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	N-demethylated spinosyn D	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	3.8 mg ma/L _(mm)

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Group	Test substance	Time-scale (Test type)	End point	Toxicity ¹
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	N-demethylated spinosyn D	48 h (static- renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	34 µg ma/L _(nm)
Mysid shrimp (<i>Americamysis bahia</i>)	N-demethylated spinosyn D	96 h (flow- through)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	1.4 mg ma/L _(nm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn A	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	> 197 mg ma/L _(nm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn D	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	65.8 mg ma/L _(nm)
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn A	48 h (static- renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	> 100 mg ma/L _(nm)
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn D	48 h (static- renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	2.2 mg ma/L _(nm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	NAF-85	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	20 mg prep./L (9.1 mg a.s./L _(nm))
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	GF-976	48 h (static renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	22 mg prep./L (9.64 mg a.s./L _(nm))
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	GF-976	48 h (static renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	0.0013 mg prep./L (0.0057 mg a.s./L _(nm))
Midge (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	GF-976	48 h (static renewal)	Mortality, EC ₅₀	0.0017 mg prep./L (0.0074 mg a.s./L _(nm))
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	a.s.	21 d (semi- static) # 21 d (flow- through)	Reproduction, NOEC Reproduction, NOEC LC _{10, survival} EC _{10, adult length}	0.0068 mg a.s./L _(nm) 0.0012 mg a.s./L _(nm) 0.00171 mg a.s./L _(nm) 0.00168 mg a.s./L _(nm)
Water flea (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	Spinosyn B	21 d (flow- through)	Development, NOEC	0.00095 mg ma/L _(nm)

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Group	Test substance	Time-scale (Test type)	End point	Toxicity ¹
<i>Water flea</i> (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	N-demethylated spinosyn D	21 d (flow- through)	Reproduction and development, NOEC EC ₂₀ , adult survival	0.001 mg ma/L _{((mm))} 2.84 mg/L _{((mm))}
<i>Water flea</i> (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn A	21 d (semi- static)	Development, NOEC	1.25 mg ma/L _(nom)
<i>Water flea</i> (<i>Daphnia magna</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn D	21 d (static renewal)	Development, NOEC	4.85 mg ma/L _(mm)
<i>Midge</i> (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	a.s	25 d (static)	NOEC	0.00062 mg a.s./L _(m.m.)
Mysid shrimp (<i>Mysidopsis bahia</i>)	Spinosad	28 d (flow- through)	Reproduction, NOEC EC ₁₀ , G1 survival EC ₁₀ , G1 reproduction EC ₁₀ G1 growth	0.10 mg a.s./L _(nom) 0.21 mg a.s./L _(nom) 0.119 mg a.s./L _(nom) 0.852 mg a.s./L _(nom)
Mysid shrimp (<i>Americamysis bahia</i>)	Spinosyn B	28 d (flow- through)	Reproduction, NOEC EC ₁₀ , G1 reproduction	0.054 mg ma/L _(mm) 0.42 mg ma/L _(mm)
Mysid shrimp (<i>Americamysis bahia</i>)	N-demethylated spinosyn D	28 d (flow- through)	Reproduction and survival, NOEC EC ₁₀ , G1 juvenile survival EC ₁₀ , G1 adult survival EC ₁₀ , G1 reproduction (per reproductive day) EC ₁₀ , G1 reproduction (per reproductive day)	0.052 mg ma/L _(mm) 0.17 mg ma/L _(mm) 0.15 mg ma/L _(mm) 0.030 mg ma/L _(mm) 0.050 mg ma/L _(mm)
Sediment-dwelling organisms				
<i>Midge</i> (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	a.s.	28 d (static)	NOEC	0.0585 mg a.s./kg dry sediment _(mm)
<i>Midge</i> (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	Spinosyn B	28 d (static)	NOEC	0.0032 mg ma/L _(im)
<i>Midge</i> (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	N-demethylated spinosyn D	28 d (static)	NOEC	0.0024 mg ma/L _(im)
<i>Midge</i> (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	β-13,14- dihydropseudoag lycone of spinosyn A	28 d (static)	NOEC	1.12 mg ma/L _(mm)

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Group	Test substance	Time-scale (Test type)	End point	Toxicity ¹
<i>Midge</i> (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)	β-13,14-dihydropseudoaglycone of spinosyn D	28 d (static)	NOEC	0.731 mg ma/L _(nm)
Algae				
<i>Marine diatom</i> (<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>)	a.s.	120 h (static)	Growth rate: E ₇ C ₅₀ Biomass: E ₆ C ₅₀	0.51 mg a.s./L _(nm) 0.20 mg a.s./L _(nm)
<i>Freshwater diatom</i> (<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>)	a.s.	72 h (static)	Growth rate: E ₇ C ₅₀ Biomass: E ₇ C ₅₀	0.470 mg a.s./L _(nm) 0.280 mg a.s./L _(nm)
<i>Freshwater diatom</i> (<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>)	GF-976	72 h (static)	Growth rate: E ₇ C ₅₀ Yield: E ₆ C ₅₀	0.69 mg prep./L (0.30 mg a.s./L _(nm)) 0.42 mg prep./L (0.18 mg a.s./L _(nm))
<i>Freshwater diatom</i> (<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>)	β-13,14-dihydropseudoaglycone of spinosyn D	96 h (static)	Growth rate: E ₇ C ₅₀ Biomass: E ₆ C ₅₀	28 mg ma/L _(nm) 40 mg ma/L _(nm)
Higher plant				
<i>Duckweed</i> (<i>Lemna gibba</i>)	a.s.	(static)	EC ₅₀ , frond yield, biomass yield, frond number growth rate, biomass growth rate	> 71 mg a.s./L (nm)
Further testing on aquatic organisms				
The exposure study by Kirk et al. 1995 (<i>D. magna</i> pulsed exposure study) could not be discussed as the notifier did not provide exposure profiles. Instead the notifier proposed to conduct additional modified exposure studies. Due to the changed chronic exposure endpoint for spinosad of <i>Chironomus riparius</i> as a result of the experts' teleconference (TC 159) additional studies should be performed with <i>C. riparius</i> instead.				
Potential endocrine disrupting properties (Annex Part A, point 8.2.3)				
The available data were not sufficient to address the potential endocrine disrupting properties of spinosad for non-target organisms. Pending on the data gap in Section 2, further consideration of the endocrine potential of spinosad might be needed.				

¹ (nm) nominal concentration; (nm) mean measured concentration; prep.: preparation; a.s.: active substance

Pulse exposure study, not considered in the risk assessment in the absence of a comparison of the exposure profiles in this study with the FOCUS exposure predictions.

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Bioconcentration in fish (Annex Part A, point 8.2.2.3)

	Spinosyn A	Spinosyn D	-	-
logP _{O/W}	3.9	4.3		
Steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF) (total wet weight/normalised to 5% lipid content)	114*	115*		
Uptake/depuration kinetics BCF (total wet weight/normalised to 5% lipid content)				
Annex VI Trigger for the bioconcentration factor				
Clearance time (days) (CT ₅₀)				
(CT ₉₀)				
Level and nature of residues (%) in organisms after the 14 day depuration phase				
Higher tier study				

* based on total ¹⁴C or on specific compounds

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Effects on bees (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.3.1 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.3.1)

Species	Test substance	Time scale/type of endpoint	End point	toxicity
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	spinosad	Acute	Oral toxicity (LD ₅₀)	0.057 µg as/bee
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	preparation NAF-85 (similar to GF-976)	Acute	Oral toxicity (LD ₅₀)	0.11 µg/bee (0.049 µg as/bee)
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	spinosad	Acute	Contact toxicity (LD ₅₀)	0.0036 µg as/bee
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	preparation NAF-85 (similar to GF-976)	Acute	Contact toxicity (LD ₅₀)	0.12 µg/bee (0.050 µg as/bee)
<i>Bombus terrestris</i>	preparation NAF-85 (similar to GF-976)	Acute	Oral toxicity (LD ₅₀)	0.77 µg/bee (0.37 µg as/bee)
<i>Bombus terrestris</i>	preparation NAF-85 (similar to GF-976)	Acute	Contact toxicity (LD ₅₀)	36 µg/bee (17.2 µg as/bee)
	no study submitted	Chronic	10 d-LC50	µg/bee/day
	no study submitted	Bee brood development	NOEClarvae	µg/larva/developmental period
	no study submitted	Sub-lethal effects (behavioural and reproductive)	NOEC hypopharyngeal glands	

Potential for accumulative toxicity: no data available			
Semi-field test (Cage and tunnel test)			
Species	Test substance	Nature of the study	Results
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	NAF-85	Full-flowering Phacelia crop sprayed in early morning, before bee flight. Test rate 1x 144 g a.s./ha. Location: UK	7-d NOER = 144 g a.s./ha (mortality and foraging behaviour). 144 and 540 g a.s./ha in absence of bees (early morning) had no effects on mortality. At 540 g a.s./ha there was an effect on foraging behaviour and there were indications for effects on brood survival. These effects were not observed at the 144 g a.s./ha rate, however results for brood are inconclusive due to the short study duration.
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	NAF-85	Spray during bee flight on full-flowering Phacelia crop, in one	Increased mortality observed on day 1 after treatment in both treatments. Reduced foraging activity observed on Day 2 and up

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

		treatment preceded by three spraying events (33, 26 and 9 days earlier). Test rate 1x and 4x226 g a.s./ha. Location: UK	to Day 3 in the 1x and 4x treatments, respectively. No effects on brood were seen, but results for brood are inconclusive due to the short study duration.
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	NAF-85	Spray during bee flight on full-flowering Phacelia crop, in one treatment preceded by another spraying event (14 days earlier). Test rate 1x and 2x 216 g a.s./ha. Location: UK	Significant cumulative mortality on days 1-3 after application at both treatments. Reduced foraging activity for up to 4 days after treatment at both rates. No effects on brood were seen, but results for brood are inconclusive due to the short study duration.
<i>Bombus terrestris</i>	NAF-85	Spray in the late afternoon of the day before bee introduction on flowering tomato crop. Test rate 1x 542 g a.s./ha. Location: The Netherlands.	No significant effects on mortality after 7 days. Decrease in foraging activity (65%, 19 and 13% after 2, 4 and 6 days., respectively; not statistically significant but considered relevant). 27% reduction in brood development (not statistically significant but considered relevant).
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	GF-976	Spray either during bee flight on full-flowering Phacelia crop or in the evening after bee flight. Test rate 1x 76 and 1x 96 g a.s./ha. Location: Germany	Increased mortality and effects on behaviour might occur after daytime application, level and duration unclear due to bad weather conditions. Decreased foraging activity might occur after daytime application, level and duration unclear due to bad weather conditions. No increased mortality after evening application. Effect on behaviour, level and duration unknown. Effect on foraging activity unknown. Effects on brood and colony condition cannot be evaluated due to artificial feeding during the study.
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	GF-976	Spray on full-flowering Phacelia crop in the evening after bee flight. Test rate 1x 76 and 1x 96 g a.s./ha. Location: Germany	76 g a.s./ha sprayed in absence of bees caused no effects on mortality, flight intensity, behaviour, brood development and colony strength. 96 g a.s./ha sprayed in absence of bees caused no effects on flight intensity or behaviour, but caused increased mortality on the two days after evening application and a non-significant but clear decreased colony strength and delay in brood development.
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	GF-976	Spray on full-flowering Phacelia crop in the evening after bee flight. Test rate 1x 76 and 1x 96 g a.s./ha. Location: Germany	76 and 96 g a.s./ha sprayed in absence of bees caused no effects on mortality, flight intensity, behaviour, brood development and colony strength.
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	GF-976	Spray on full-flowering Phacelia crop during bee flight. Test rates: 48, 24, 12, 6 and 3 g a.s./ha	Rates from 3 to 48 g a.s./ha applied during bee flight caused no effects on mortality, brood, food storage and overall colony condition for 46 days. There was a reduction

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

		Location: South-West France	of flight intensity at 24 at 48 g a.s./ha.
Field tests : none			

Risk assessment: Hazard quotients for bees from GF-976 uses (all uses, rates range from 36 to 120 g a.s./ha)

Substance	Exposure pathway	Rate (g a.s./ha)	LD ₅₀ (µg/bee)	Q _{HO} /Q _{HC}	Trigger
Spinosad	Oral	36	0.057	632	50
		120		2105	
	Contact	36	0.0036	10000	50
		120		33333	
GF-976 ¹	Oral	36	0.049	735	50
		120		2449	
	Contact	36	0.050	720	50
		120		2400	

¹ Based on data for NAF-85, a formulation similar to GF-976

Values in bold text exceed the Annex VI trigger value of 50

A risk assessment in line with EFSA (2013) was not provided (data gap)

Effects on other arthropod species (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.3.2 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.3.2)

Laboratory tests with standard sensitive species

Species	Test Substance	End point	Toxicity
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	GF-976	Mortality, LR ₅₀	8.54 g a.s./ha*
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	NAF-85	Mortality, LR ₅₀	<40 g a.s./ha
<i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i>	GF-976	Mortality, LR ₅₀	0.371 g a.s./ha
<i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i>	NAF-85	Mortality, LR ₅₀	<40 g a.s./ha
Additional species			
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	NAF-85	Mortality, LR ₅₀	>320 g a.s./ha

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Species	Test Substance	End point	Toxicity
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	12% EC formulation	Mortality, LR ₅₀	>17 g a.s./ha
<i>Episyrphus balteatus</i>	12% EC formulation	Mortality, LR ₅₀ Reproduction, ER ₅₀	<45 g a.s./ha <45 g a.s./ha
<i>Episyrphus balteatus</i>	480 g SC formulation	Mortality, LR ₅₀	<96 g a.s./ha
<i>Poecilus cupreus</i>	NAF-85	Mortality, LR ₅₀	>400 g a.s./ha

* It is noted that the number of replicates in the study was lower than that recommended in the test guideline.

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Extended laboratory tests, aged residue tests

Species	Life stage	Test substance, substrate	Time scale	Dose (g/ha) ^{1,2}	End point	% effect ³	L(E)R ₅₀
<i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i>	Adults/pupa	NAF-85	48 h	4.8 9.6 19 38	Mortality Reproduction	13 40 67 80 No statistically significant effects	LR ₅₀ (adults) = 18.48 g as/ha LR ₅₀ (pupa) = >38.4 g as/ha
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Adults	NAF-85	48 h	540 1080	Mortality	100 100	LR ₅₀ < 540 g as/ha
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Adults	NAF-85	31 d	0.36 g as/L	Mortality	100	LR ₅₀ < 0.36 g as/L (< 39 g as/ha)
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Protonymphs	GF-976	14 d	0.156 0.3125 0.625 1.25 2.5 5	Mortality	21.1 47.8 66.7 93.3 95.6 100	LR ₅₀ = 0.3513 g as/ha NOER (fecundity) ≥ 0.625 g as/ha
<i>Chrysoperla carnea</i>	larvae	NAF-85	50 d	2 x 0.36 g as/L	Mortality fecundity	25 18	L(E)R ₅₀ > 0.36 g as/L (> 39 g as/ha)
<i>Poecilus cupreus</i>	adults	NAF-85	29 d	1 x 540 2 x 540 3 x 540 1 x 540 2 x 540 3 x 540	Mortality Food consumption	6.7 63 81 4 19 41	
<i>Aleochara bilineata</i>	adults	GF-976	28 d	300 g as/ha (soil incorporated)	Mortality Juvenile prod	17.5 5.63	LR ₅₀ > 300 g as/ha NOER ≥ 300 g as/ha

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Species	Life stage	Test substance, substrate	Time scale	Dose (g/ha) ^{1,2}	End point	% effect ³	L(E)R ₅₀
<i>Phytoseiulus persimilis</i>	Juveniles	NAF-85	7 d	2 x 0.36 g as/L	Mortality	93	LR ₅₀ < 0.36 g as/L (based on 10% mortality and 84% missing mites) (< 39 g as/ha)
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	larvae	NAF-85	56 d	2 x 0.36 g as/L	Mortality	16	LR ₅₀ > 0.36 g as/L (> 39 g as/ha)

¹ indicate whether initial or aged residues

² for preparations indicate whether dose is expressed in units of a.s. or preparation

³ indicate if positive percentages relate to adverse effects or not

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Field or semi-field tests						
Species	Test type	Test compound	Dose rate (g as/ha)	End point	Effect	Trigger
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Semi-field, test on leaf discs under outdoor conditions	GF-976	0.1, 0.39, 1.56 and 6.25	Mortality	LR50 = 4.871 g as/ha	N.A.
				Fecundity	NOER $\geq$ 6.25 g as/ha	
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Field, sprayed vineyard in France, $\pm$ 7 weeks	NAF-85	24 and 48	abundance	<25	50
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Field, sprayed vineyard in France, $\pm$ 7 weeks	NAF-85	96	abundance	43 after 7 d <25 from 16 d	50
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Field, sprayed vineyard, western part of Germany	NAF-85	4 applications 29-38-67-77	abundance	< 25%	50
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Field experiment, sprayed vineyard in Germany, 75 days	NAF-85	2 applications; 3.8 ¹ 3 applications; 3.2 ²	abundance	23.5 % (max.) after 35 d.	50
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Field experiment, sprayed vineyard in Germany, 75 days	NAF-85	2 applications; 58 ¹ 3 applications; 48 ²	abundance	31.6% (max.) after 14 d.	50
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Field sprayed vineyard, Freiburg	NAF-85	4 applications 29-39-68-78	abundance	24.6 (positive)	50
<i>Macrolophus caliginosus</i>	Semi-field, direct spray of bugs on plants, 14 days	NAF-85	0.096 g as/L (10.6 g as/ha), until run-off	abundance	23 (1-7 days) 0 (14 days)	50
<i>Macrolophus caliginosus</i>	Semi-field, direct spray of bugs on plants, 14 days	NAF-85	0.36 g as/L (39 g as/ha), until run-off	abundance	49 (1 day) 75 (3 days) 64 (7 days) 32 (14 days)	50
<i>Chrysoperla carnea</i>	Semi-field, potted apple trees, 75 d.	NAF-85	(2x26; 2x11) 4 x 109	mortality fecundity hatching success mortality fecundity hatching success	16.5 +30 +0.4 10.7 +1.8 0.1	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, direct spray of aphids on sweet pepper plants, 12 days	NAF-85	0.096 g as/L until run-off 0.36 g as/L until run-off	parasitism parasitism	70 88	50

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, residue on sweet pepper plants, 13 days	NAF-85	0.096 g as/L until run-off, aged 1 d	parasitism	98	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, residue on sweet pepper plants, 19 days	NAF-85	0.096 g as/L until run-off, aged 7 d	parasitism	41	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, residue on sweet pepper plants, 26 days	NAF-85	0.096 g as/L until run-off, aged 14 d	parasitism	46	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, residue on sweet pepper plants, 13 days	NAF-85	0.36 g as/L until run-off, aged 1 d	parasitism	98	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, residue on sweet pepper plants, 19 days	NAF-85	0.36 g as/L until run-off, aged 7 d	parasitism	36	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field, residue on sweet pepper plants, 26 days	NAF-85	0.36 g as/L until run-off, aged 14 d	parasitism	27	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field (greenhouse; potted apple trees);	NAF-85	-(4x109), 46 d, fresh residue -(4x109), 60 d., aged 14 d.	mortality	95% (max.) after 1 d. 0% after 14 d.	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field (greenhouse; potted apple trees);	NAF-85	-(2x26; 2x11), 46 d, fresh residue -(2x 26; 2 x11), 60 d., aged 14 d.	mortality	45% (max.) after 1 d. 0% (max.) after 14 d.	50
<i>Aphidius colemani</i>	Semi-field (greenhouse; pepper plants sprayed)	NAF-85	144 g as/ha 540 g as/ha	Parasitism Parasitism	85.2 (0 d) 39.7 (7 d) 9.9 (14 d) 99.4 (0 d) 43.2 (7 d) 3.5 (14 d)	50

¹⁾ first and second application (interval 7 days)

²⁾ third, fourth and fifth application (interval 7 days), interval between second and third application was 14 days

Whole arthropod community field study
A non-target arthropod field study was submitted. The product was applied once at 0.375, 1.5, 6, 24 and 96 g a.s./ha to grassland meadow. The No Observed Effect Rate (NOER) and the No Observed Ecologically Adverse Effect Rate (NOEAER) were defined at the community and population level as the rate at which statistically significant adverse responses were observed, but recovery was demonstrated within two months after applications. These results were as follows: community NOER 6 g a.s./ha, community NOEAER 24 g a.s./ha; population NOER 1.5 g a.s./ha, population NOEAER 24 g a.s./ha.
Additional specific test

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

**Effects on non-target soil meso- and macro fauna; effects on soil nitrogen transformation
(Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, points 8.4, 8.5, and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013
Annex Part A, points 10.4, 10.5)**

Test organism	Test substance	Application method of test a.s./ OM ¹	Time scale	End point	Toxicity mg a.s. or metabolite./kg d.w.soil
Earthworms					
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	GF-976 (43.1% a.s.)	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Growth and reproduction	NOEC = 127 NOEC _{corrected} = 63.5*
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	Spinosad	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Growth and reproduction	NOEC = 35.2 NOEC _{corrected} = 17.6*
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	Spinosyn B	Soil incorporation 10% OM	Chronic	Growth and reproduction	NOEC = 3.58 NOEC _{corrected} = 1.791
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	N-demethylated Spinosyn D	Soil incorporation 10% OM	Chronic	Growth and reproduction	NOEC = 1.93 NOEC _{corrected} = 0.964
Other soil macroorganisms					
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	GF-976 (43.1% a.s.)	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Mortality, reproduction,	NOEC = 13.4 NOEC _{corrected} = 6.7 EC _{10, corrected} = 10.25
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	Spinosyn B	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Mortality, reproduction	NOEC = 10 NOEC _{corrected} = 5*
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	N-demethylated Spinosyn D	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Reproduction	NOEC = 4.3 NOEC _{corrected} = 2.15 EC _{10, corrected} = 2.65
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	GF-976 (43.1% a.s.)	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Mortality, reproduction	NOEC = 4.32 NOEC _{corrected} = 2.16
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	GF-976 (43.8% a.s.)	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Reproduction	NOEC = 11 NOEC _{corrected} = 5.5
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	Spinosyn B	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Mortality, reproduction	NOEC = 2 NOEC _{corrected} = 1.0*

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Test organism	Test substance	Application method of test a.s./ OM ¹	Time scale	End point	Toxicity mg a.s. or metabolite./kg d.w.soil
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	N-demethylated spinosyn D	Soil incorporation 5% OM	Chronic	Reproduction	NOEC = 5 NOEC _{corrected} = 2.5

¹To indicate whether the test substance was oversprayed/to indicate the organic content of the test soil (e.g. 5 % or 10 %).

*highest tested dose

Higher tier testing (e.g. modelling or field studies)

Nitrogen transformation	Spinosyn B		<25% effect at day 28 at 3.582 mg a.s./kg d.w.soil
	N-demethylated Spinosyn D		<25% effect at day 28 at 1.928 mg a.s./kg d.w.soil
	GF-976 (43.8% a.s.)		<25% effects at (Day 40) 3.66 mg GF-976/kg soil (i.e. 1.60 mg a.s./kg soil)

Toxicity/exposure ratios for soil organisms

Leafy vegetables at 120 g a.s./ha x 3

Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	Soil PEC _{max}	TER	Trigger
Earthworms					
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	a.s.	Chronic	0.312	56.4	5
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	Spinosyn B	Chronic	0.195	9.2	5
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	N-demethylated spinosyn D	Chronic	0.035	27.5	5
<i>Eisenia fetida</i>	GF-976	Chronic	0.312 a.s.	204.2	5
Other soil macroorganisms					
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	GF-976	Chronic	0.312 a.s.	21.5	5
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	Spinosyn B	Chronic	0.195	25.6	5
<i>Folsomia candida</i>	N-demethylated spinosyn D	Chronic	0.035	61.4	5
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	GF-976	Chronic	0.312 a.s.	17.6	5
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	Spinosyn B	Chronic	0.195	5.1	5
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>	N-demethylated spinosyn D	Chronic	0.035	71.4	5

Effects on terrestrial non target higher plants (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.6 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013 Annex Part A, point 10.6)

Screening data

Not required for herbicides or plant growth regulators as ER ₅₀ tests should be provided

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Laboratory dose response tests

Species	Test substance	ER ₅₀ (g/ha) ² vegetative vigour	ER ₅₀ (g/ha) ² emergence	Crop/Exposure ¹ (g/ha) ²	TER	Trigger
6 dicot and 4 monocot plant species	NAF-85	>579	>579	Leafy vegetables: 2.41 g as/ha	>240	5
				Vines: 6.90 g as/ha	>87	5
				Potatoes: 0.72 g as/ha	>804	5

Extended laboratory studies :
Semi-field and field test:

¹ explanation of how exposure has been estimated should be provided (e.g. based on Ganzelmeier drift data)

² for preparations indicate whether dose is expressed in units of a.s. or preparation

Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.8)

Test type/organism	end point
Activated sludge	3 hour IC ₅₀ >100 mg/L

Monitoring data (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 8.9 and Regulation (EU) N° 284/2013, Annex Part A, point 10.8)

Available monitoring data concerning adverse effect of the a.s.

Available monitoring data concerning effect of the PPP.

Definition of the residue for monitoring (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, point 7.4.2) Ecotoxicologically relevant compounds¹

Compartment	
soil	Spinosad, sum of spinosyn A and spinosyn D
water	Spinosad, sum of spinosyn A and spinosyn D Spinosyn B
sediment	Spinosad, sum of spinosyn A and spinosyn D pending N-demethylated spinosyn D and spinosyn B
groundwater	Spinosad, sum of spinosyn A and spinosyn D spinosyn B, pending N-demethylated spinosyn D

¹ metabolites are considered relevant when, based on the risk assessment, they pose a risk comparable or higher than the parent

Classification and labelling with regard to ecotoxicological data (Regulation (EU) N° 283/2013, Annex Part A, Section 10)

Substance	Spinosad
Harmonised classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 and its Adaptations to Technical Process [Table 3.1 of Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 as amended] ⁷ :	Aquatic Acute 1 H400 M=10 Aquatic Chronic 1 H410
Peer review proposal ⁸ for harmonised classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:	Aquatic Acute 1 H400 M=10 Aquatic Chronic 1 H410 M=100

7.1 Effects on birds

Birds can be exposed to the active substance spinosad via natural food (sprayed insects, seeds, leaves), drinking water and as a result of secondary poisoning.

The threshold value for birds is based on the trigger from the RGB. This means that Toxicity-Exposure Ratio's (TERs) for acute and short-term exposure should be ≥ 10 and TER for chronic exposure should be ≥ 5 .

Table E.1 presents an overview of toxicity data.

Table E.1 Overview of toxicity data for birds

	Endpoint	Value
Acute toxicity to birds:	LD ₅₀	>2000 mg a.s./kg bw
Dietary toxicity to birds:	LC ₅₀	> 1804.6 mg a.s./kg bw/d*
Reproductive toxicity to birds:	NOEL	68.5 mg a.s./kg bw/d*

LC₅₀ (5156 mg a.s./kg feed) and NOEC (550 mg a.s./kg feed) are recalculated, using the default conversion factors for the ratio daily food intake/body weight (0.35 for the LC₅₀ (juvenile birds) 0.15 for the NOEC (adult birds)) according to an advice of EFSA (azinphos-methyl).

7.1.1 Natural food and drinking water

Sprayed products

For the current application, uses can be categorized as orchards and vineyards. Table E.2 shows which indicator species are relevant.

Table E.2 Indicator species per use

Use	Crop	Indicator species
Cherry, plum	Orchard	Small insectivorous
Grapes	Vineyard	Small omnivorous bird

The risk assessment from the applicant is based on the revised guidance for birds and mammals (EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12):1483).

Table E.3 Acute risk for birds

Crop	Indicator species	LD ₅₀ [mg a.s./kg bw]	DDD			DDD [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	TER _A	Trigger
			Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV ₉₀	MAF ₉₀			
Spinosad								
Orchard	Small insectivorous bird	>2000	0.096	46.8	1.3	5.8	>342	10
Vineyard	Small insectivorous bird	>2000	0.0768	95.3	1.8	13.2	>152	10

Table E.3 shows that the TER values is above the required trigger of 10 for acute exposure. Accordingly, the acute risk to birds from the use of the product according to the intended use pattern is considered acceptable.

Acute risk for birds through drinking water

Leaf scenario

The exposure of birds to drinking water from pools in leaf whorls is relevant for the use of tracer in leafy crops and orchards.

According to EFSA (2009) the leaf scenario is relevant for acute scenario only. Generic focal species is a small granivorous bird (body weight 15.3 g) with a DWR (daily drinking water rate) of 0.46 L/kg bw/d. According to EFSA (2009), the PEC_{pool} is calculated as follows:

$$PEC_{pool} = C_{spray} / 5 \text{ [mg/L]}$$

According to the GAP, the C_{spray} is 0.3 kg as/hL (equivalent to 3000 mg/L). Hence, the PEC_{pool} (= PEC_{dw}) is 3000/5 = 600 mg a.s./L. The calculation of DDD (here: daily drinking water dose) and TER are as follows:

$$DDD = DWR * PEC_{dw} \text{ [mg a.s./kg bw/d]}$$

$$TER_A = LD_{50} \text{ [mg as/kg bw/d]} / DDD \text{ [mg a.s./kg bw/d]}$$

Table E.4 Acute risk for birds through drinking water from pools in leaf axils or on leaves

Exposure via	DWR [L/kg bw/d]	PEC _{dw} [mg a.s./L]	DDD [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	LD ₅₀ [mg a.s./kg bw]	TER _{A, LEAF AXIL}	Trigger
Pools in leaf axils	0.46	600	276	> 2000	> 7.2	10

Table E.4 shows that the TER_A value from exposure via leaf axils is possibly below the required trigger of 10 for acute exposure. However, only 17% mortality occurred at the dose of 2000 mg as/kg bw. Thus, the LD50 will be considerably higher than 2000 mg as/kg bw.

Therefore it is expected that the TER will be >10 at the real LD50 value. Hence, the risk is acceptable.

Puddle scenario:

The exposure of birds to drinking water from puddles formed on the field after rainfall is relevant for the proposed field use of Tracer.

According to EFSA (2009) the puddle scenario is relevant for the acute (this section) and reproduction scenario (see below). Generic focal species is a small granivorous bird (body weight 15.3 g) with a DWR (daily drinking water rate) of 0.46 L/kg bw/d.

and

$$PEC_{\text{puddle}} = \frac{AR/10}{1000(w + Koc \times s)}$$

According to EFSA Guidance Document for Birds Mammals (2009), the PEC_{puddle} calculates as follows:

Where

AR = application rate in g/ha; divisor of 10 to achieve rate in mg/m²

w = 0.02 (pore water term: volume)

s = 0.0015 (soil term: volume, density, organic carbon content)

The PEC_{puddle} (= PEC_{dw}) is 0.14 mg a.s./L, based on the highest single application rate in cherry (screening PIEC) and a mean Koc of 35024 L/kg. The calculation of DDD (here: daily drinking water dose) and TER are as follows:

$$DDD = DWR * PEC_{\text{dw}} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}]$$

$$TER_A = LD50 [\text{mg as/kg bw}] / DDD [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}]$$

Table E.5 Acute risk for birds through drinking water from puddles

Exposure via	DWR [L/kg bw/d]	PEC_{dw} [mg a.s./L]	DDD [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	LD50 [mg as/kg bw]	$TER_{A,\text{puddle}}$	Trigger
Puddles on soil	0.46	0.14	0.064	>2000	>31056	5

Table E.5 shows that the TER_A value from exposure via puddles is above the required trigger of 10 for acute exposure. Thus, the risk is acceptable.

Table E.6 Chronic risk for birds

Crop	Indicator species	NOEL [mg a.s./kg bw]	DDD			DDD [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	TER	Trigger
			Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV	MAF			
Spinosad								
Orchard	Small insectivorous bird	68.5	0.096	18.2	1.6	2.8	24.5	5
Vineyard	Small omnivorous bird	68.5	0.0768	38.9	2.2	6.57	10.5	5

Table E.6 shows that the TER values is above the required trigger of 5 for chronic exposure. Accordingly, the chronic risk to birds from the use of the product according to the intended use pattern is considered acceptable.

Chronic risk for birds through drinking water

Puddle scenario:

The exposure of birds to drinking water from puddles formed on the field after rainfall is relevant for all proposed field uses of Tracer.

According to EFSA (2009) the leaf scenario is relevant for the acute (see section above) and reproduction scenario (this section). Generic focal species is a small granivorous bird (body weight 15.3 g) with a DWR (daily drinking water of 0.46 L/kg bw/d.

rate)

and

$$PEC_{\text{puddle}} = \frac{AR/10}{1000(w + Koc \times s)}$$

According to EFSA Guidance Document for Birds Mammals (2009), the PEC_{puddle} calculates as follows:

Where

AR = application rate in g/ha; divisor of 10 to achieve rate in mg/m²

w = 0.02 (pore water term: volume)

s = 0.0015 (soil term: volume, density, organic carbon content)

The PEC_{puddle} (= PEC_{dw}) is 0.14 mg a.s./L, based on the highest single application rate in cherry (screening PIEC) and a mean Koc of 35024 L/kg. The calculation of DDD (here: daily drinking water dose) and TER are as follows:

$$DDD = DWR * PEC_{\text{dw}} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}]$$

$$TER_{LT} = NO(A)EL [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}] / DDD [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}]$$

Table E.7 Chronic risk for birds through drinking water from puddles

Exposure via	DWR [L/kg bw/d]	PEC _{dw} [mg a.s./L]	DDD [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	NOEL [mg as/kg bw]	TER _{It,pu} ddle	Trigger
Puddles on soil	0.46	0.14	0.064	68.5	1070	5

Table E.7 shows that the TER_{LT} value from exposure via puddles is above the required trigger of 5 for chronic exposure. Thus, the risk is acceptable.

7.1.2 Secondary poisoning

The risk as a result of secondary poisoning is assessed based on bioconcentration in fish and worms. Examination takes place against the threshold value for chronic exposure of 0.2 times the NOEC value. This means that the TER should be ≥ 5 .

Fish

For Spinosyn A and D a BCF of 114, respectively 115 L/kg is available.

The highest PEC_{water(21)} (taken from paragraph 6.2.1.) amounts 0.1042 µg/L = 0.000104 mg/L.

Indicator species is a 1000-g bird eating 159 g fresh fish per day.

The TER is then calculated as $NOEL / (PEC_{water(21)} * BCF_{fish} * (FIR/bw)) = 68.5 / (0.000104 * 115 * 0.159) = >1000$. Since this is $>> 5$, the risk for birds as a result of consumption of contaminated fish is considered to be low for all uses.

Earthworms

Since there are no experimental data the bioconcentration factor for earthworms (BCF_{worm}) is calculated according to the following formula: $BCF = (0.84 + 0.01 * Kow) / f_{oc} * K_{oc}$.

The logKow of Spinosad is 4.38 (worst-case value for Spinosyn D); average Koc Spinosyn A is 35024 L/kg, which leads to a BCF_{worm} = 0.344 kg soil/kg worm.

The highest PEC_{soil(21)} (taken from paragraph 6.1.1) amounts 0.078 mg/kg soil (sum of spinosyn A and D).

Indicator species is a 100-g bird eating 104.6 g fresh worms per day.

The risk is then calculated as $NOEL / PEC_{soil(21)} * BCF_{worm} * (FIR/bw) = 68.5 / (0.078 * 0.344 * 1.05) = >1000$. Since this is $>> 5$, the risk for birds as a result of consumption of contaminated worms is considered to be low.

Considering the large margin of safety that is calculated for the active substance, the risk from metabolites in soil and water is considered low as well.

Taking the results for secondary poisoning through fish and earthworms into account, the proposed use meets the standards for secondary poisoning as laid down in the RGB.

Conclusions birds

The product complies with the RGB.

7.2 Effects on aquatic organisms

7.2.1 Aquatic organisms

The risk for aquatic organisms is assessed by comparing toxicity values with surface water exposure concentrations from section 6.2. Risk assessment is based on toxicity-exposure ratio's (TERs).

Toxicity data for aquatic organisms are presented in Table E.8. In the list of endpoints it can be seen that the formulation NAF-85 (=Tracer) is less toxic than the a.s. for all organism groups. Therefore, the risk assessment is based on the toxicity endpoints of spinosad.

Table E.8 Overview toxicity endpoints for aquatic organisms

Substance	Organism	Lowest		Toxicity value
		L(E)C ₅₀ [mg/L]	NOEC [mg/L]	[µg/L]
spinosad	<i>Acute</i>			
	Algae	0.14*		140
	Invertebrates	>0.032		>32
	Fish	4.0		4000
	Macrophytes	>71		>71000
	Chronic			
	Invertebrates		0.0012	1.2
	Fish		0.217	217
	Sediment org (<i>Chironomus riparius</i>)		0.00077	0.77
N-demethylated Spinosyn D	<i>Acute</i>			
	Algae	0.25		250
	Invertebrates	0.034		34
	<i>Chronic</i>			
	Daphnids		0.001	1
β-13,14- dihydropseudo- aglycone of Spinosyn A	<i>Acute</i>			
	Algae	38.8		38800
	Invertebrates	>100		>100000
	<i>Chronic</i>			
	Daphnids		1.25	1250
β-13,14- dihydropseudo- aglycone of Spinosyn D	<i>Acute</i>			
	Algae	28		28000
	Invertebrates	65.8		65800
	<i>Chronic</i>			
	Daphnids		4.85	4850

* ErC50-value

These toxicity values are compared to the surface water concentrations calculated in section 6.2. Trigger values for acute exposure are 100 for daphnids and fish (0.01 times the lowest L(E)C₅₀-value) and 10 for algae and macrophytes (0.1 times the lowest EC₅₀-value). Trigger values for chronic exposure are 10 for daphnids and fish (0.1 times the lowest NOEC-values).

For acute and chronic risk, the initial concentration is used (PIEC) for TER calculation. In table E.9a-b TER values for aquatic organisms are shown.

Table E.9a TER values for a.s. spinosad: acute

Use	PEC _{sw} [µg a.s./L]	TER _{st} (trigger 10)	TER _{st} (trigger 100)	TER _{st} (trigger 100)	TER _{st} (trigger 10)
		Algae spring	Daphnid spring	Fish spring	Macrophytes spring
Cherry, plum	0.0762*	>1000	>420	>1000	>1000
Grapes	0.0475*	>1000	>674	>1000	>1000

* full leaf, DRT99 in combination with a crop free zone of 300 cm

Table E.9b TER values for a.s. spinosad: chronic

Use	PEC _{sw} [µg a.s./L]	TER _{lt} (trigger 10) aquatic Invertebrates spring	TER _{lt} (trigger 10) Fish spring
Cherry, plum	0.0762*	15.7	>1000
Grape	0.0475*	25.3	>1000

* full leaf, DRT99 in combination with a crop free zone of 300 cm

Taking the results in Table E.9a and b into account, all TERs are above the relevant Annex VI triggers, provided that the mentioned drift reduction measures are applied (DRT99 + 300 cm CFZ).

Risks of metabolites

There is no information on the acute and chronic concentrations of the metabolites, therefore no TERs for the metabolites could be calculated.

Spinosyn A and D are defined as residues relevant for water and sediment. In the semi-field fate-cosm experiment, the metabolites Spinosyn B and N-demethylated Spinosyn D did not reach levels of >10 % of AR in the water phase. In the laboratory study N-demethylated Spinosyn D reached a maximum of 10%. Because the toxicity of this metabolite for the most sensitive organism is comparable with the active substance and the exposure to the metabolite is expected to be lower than of the active substance, the risk from the metabolite N-demethylated Spinosyn D will be covered by the active substance.

Metabolite β-13,14-dihydropseudo-aglycone of Spinosyn A and D were not analysed in the laboratory and semi-field study, but may be present under field conditions as they were identified as major photometabolites. As formation percentages are lacking, a PEC_{sw} for these metabolites cannot be calculated. When, however, the PEC_{sw} for Spinosad is used, thus assuming a formation percentage of 100%, the threshold values for diatoms and *D. magna* are above 100 and a risk is not expected.

7.2.2 Risk assessment for bioconcentration

For the active substance a BCF-value of 114-115 L/kg is available (for spinosyn A and D resp.).

Since the BCF is above 100 L/kg and the substance spinosad is not ready biodegradable, the risk for bioconcentration should be further addressed.

According to the guidance document on aquatic ecotoxicology the following points should be

checked:

- 1) Direct long-term effects in fish due to bioconcentration;
- 2) Secondary poisoning for birds and mammals;
- 3) Biomagnification in aquatic food chains.

On the basis of this it has to be demonstrated by means of an adequate risk assessment that the risk for bioconcentration is acceptable.

Ad 1: an ELS study should be available if $100 < BCF < 1000$ and EC_{50} Spinosad for fish < 0.1 mg/L. The trigger is hardly exceeded for BCF, but not for EC_{50} fish (actually 4.0 mg/L).

However, an ELS study is available and based on this study the chronic risk to fish is acceptable ($TER > 1000$ for the use in cherry and grape).

Ad 2: from the risk assessment of secondary poisoning for birds and mammals a low risk is indicated.

Ad 3: When the $BCF > 1000$ and the elimination in the BCF study within 14 days is $< 95\%$ and the DT_{90} water or sediment > 100 days, a higher tier exposure assessment with regard to the potential for biomagnification in the aquatic food chain should be conducted. These triggers are not exceeded for spinosad.

Hence, the active substance Spinosad meets the standards for bioconcentration as laid down in the RGB.

7.2.3 Risk assessment for sediment organisms

Since the water–sediment study indicates that over 10% of the a.s. spinosad is found in the sediment after 14 days and the NOEC for daphnids is below 0.1 mg/L, there is a potential risk for sediment organisms.

The NOEC value for *Chironomus* is 0.77 µg/L. In Table E.10a, this value is examined against the highest refined PIEC in water.

Table E.10a TER values for a.s. spinosad for *Chironomus riparius*

Use	PEC _{sw} [µg a.s./L]	TER _{st} (trigger 10) Chironomus sp. spring
Cherry, plum	0.0762*	10.1
Grape	0.0475*	16.2

* full leaf, DRT99 in combination with a crop free zone of 300 cm

Based on the results presented in table E.10a it can be concluded that the risk to aquatic organisms is acceptable for all intended uses, provided that the following restriction sentence is applied:

Om in het water levende organismen te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen, pruimen en druiven in percelen die grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van een techniek uit de klasse DRT99 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de insteek van de sloot / perceelgrens.

Overall conclusion

The risk for the use in cherries, plums and grapes is acceptable, provided that a technique from class DRT99 is applied in combination with a crop-free zone of at least 300 cm.

7.3 Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than birds

Mammals can be exposed to the active substance spinosad via natural food (sprayed insects, seeds, leaves), drinking water and as a result of secondary poisoning.

The threshold value for mammals is based on the trigger from the RGB. This means that the Toxicity-Exposure Ratio (TER) for acute exposure should be ≥ 10 and TER for chronic exposure should be ≥ 5 . Dietary toxicity is not taken into account for mammals.

Table E.11 presents an overview of toxicity data.

Table E.11 Overview of toxicity data for mammals

	Endpoint	Value
Acute toxicity to mammals:	LD ₅₀	>2000 mg a.s./kg bw
Reproductive toxicity to mammals:	NOEL	10 mg a.s./kg bw/d #

daily dose value taken from DAR Spinosad (value not in LoEP).

7.3.1 Natural food and drinking water

The risk assessment from the applicant is based on the revised guidance for birds and mammals (EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12):1483).

- **Acute dietary risk assessment: screening step for sprayed products**

Table E.12 Acute risk for mammals

Crop	Generic focal species	LD ₅₀ [mg a.s./kg bw]	DDD			DDD [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	TER _A	Trigger
			Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV ₉₀	MAF ₉₀			
Spinosad								
Orchard	Small herbivorous mammal	> 2000	0.096	136. 4	1.4	18.3	>109	10
Vineyard	Small herbivorous mammal	> 2000	0.0786	136. 4	1.8	19.3	>104	10

Table E.12 shows that the TER value is above the required trigger of 10 for acute exposure. Accordingly, the acute risk to mammals from the use of the product according to the intended use pattern is considered acceptable.

Acute risk for mammals through drinking water

Leaf scenario

The exposure to drinking water from pools in leaf whorls is not relevant for mammals according to EFSA (2009).

Puddle scenario:

The exposure of mammals to drinking water from puddles formed on the field after rainfall is relevant for all proposed field of tracer

According to EFSA (2009) the leaf scenario is relevant for the acute (this section) and reproduction scenario (see below). Generic focal species is a small granivorous mammal (body weight 21.7 g) with a DWR (daily drinking water of 0.24 L/kg bw/d..

$$\text{rate)} \quad \text{PEC}_{\text{puddle}} = \frac{\text{AR}/10}{1000(w + \text{Koc} \times s)}$$

According to EFSA Guidance Document for Birds and Mammals (2009), the $\text{PEC}_{\text{puddle}}$ calculates as follows:

Where

AR = application rate in g/ha; divisor of 10 to achieve rate in mg/m²

w = 0.02 (pore water term: volume)

s = 0.0015 (soil term: volume, density, organic carbon content)

The $\text{PEC}_{\text{puddle}}$ (= PEC_{dw}) is 0.14 mg a.s./L, based on the highest single application rate in cherry (screening PIEC) and a mean Koc of 35024 L/kg. The calculation of DDD (here: daily drinking water dose) and TER are as follows:

$$\text{DDD} = \text{DWR} * \text{PEC}_{\text{dw}} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}]$$

$$\text{TER}_A = \text{LD50} [\text{mg as/kg bw}] / \text{DDD} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}]$$

Table E.13 Acute risk for mammals through drinking water from puddles

Exposure Via	DWR [L/kg bw/d]	PEC_{dw} [mg a.s./L]	DDD [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	LD50 [mg as/kg bw]	$\text{TER}_{A,\text{puddle}}$	Trigger
Puddles on soil	0.24	0.14	0.034	>2000	>59524	5

Table E.13 shows that the TER_A value from exposure via puddles is above the required trigger of 10 for acute exposure. Thus, the risk is acceptable.

- **Chronic dietary risk assessment: Tier 1 for sprayed products**

Advies vrijstelling 2023 Tracer (spinosad) in de onbedekte teelt van kers, pruim en druif ter
beheersing van suzuki-fruitvlieg

Table E.14 Chronic risk for mammals

Crop	Generic focal species	NOEL [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	DDD			DDD [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	TER	Trigger
			Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV	MAF			
spinosad								
Orchard	Small herbivorous mammal	10	0.096	72.3	1.6	11.1	0.9	5
Vineyard	Small herbivorous mammal	10	0.0786	72.3	2.2	12.5	0.8	5

Taking the results in Table E.14 into account, it appears that there is a chronic risk to mammals.

The following tier 1 assessment is performed.

Table E.15a Chronic risk for mammals in orchards

Crop	Generic focal species	NOAEL [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	DDD				DDD [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	TER _{LT}	Trigg er
			Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV _{me} an	MAF mean	ftw a			
Spinosad									
Orchard	Small herbivorous mammal, “vole” (≥ 40)	10	0.096	21.7	1.6	0.53	1.77	5.7	5
	Large herbivorous mammal “lagomorph” (≥ 40)			4.3			0.35	28.6	
	Small omnivorous mammal “mouse” (≥ 40)			2.3			0.19	53.4	
	Frugivorous mammal ‘dormouse’			22.7			1.85	5.4	

From table E.15 it appears that the TER values are higher than 5 for all scenarios.

Table E.15b Chronic risk for mammals in vineyards

Crop	Generic focal species	NOAEL [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	DDD				DDD [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	TER _{LT}	Trigg er
			Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV _{me} an	MAF mean	ftw a			
Spinosad									
Vineyard	Small insectivorous mammal, “shrew” (≥ 40)	10	0.0786	1.9			0.17	58.8	5
	Small herbivorous mammal, “vole” (≥ 40)			21.7			1.99	5.0	
	Large herbivorous mammal “lagomorph” (≥ 40)			3.3	2.2	0.53	0.30	33.3	
	Small omnivorous mammal “mouse” (≥ 40)			2.3			0.21	47.4	

From table E.15b it appears that the TER values are higher than 5 for all scenarios.
Hence, the proposed use meets the standards for mammals as laid down in the RGB.

Chronic risk for mammals through drinking water

Puddle scenario:

The exposure of mammals to drinking water from puddles formed on the field after rainfall is relevant for all proposed field uses of Tracer.

According to EFSA (2009) the puddle scenario is relevant for the acute (see section above) and reproduction scenario (this section). Generic focal species is a small granivorous mammal (body weight 21.7 g) with a DWR (daily drinking water rate) of 0.24 L/kg bw/d..

According to EFSA Guidance Document for Birds and Mammals (2009), the PEC_{puddle} calculates as follows:

Where

AR = application rate in g/ha; divisor of 10 to achieve rate in mg/m²
w = 0.02 (pore water term: volume)
s = 0.0015 (soil term: volume, density, organic carbon content)

The PEC_{puddle} (= PEC_{dw}) is 0.14 mg a.s./L, based on the highest single application rate in cherry (screening PIEC) and a mean Koc of 35024 L/kg. The calculation of DDD (here: daily drinking water dose) and TER are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DDD} &= \text{DWR} * \text{PEC}_{\text{dw}} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}] \\ \text{TER}_{\text{LT}} &= \text{NO(A)EL} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}] / \text{DDD} [\text{mg a.s./kg bw/d}] \end{aligned}$$

Table E.16 Chronic risk for birds through drinking water from puddles

Exposure via	DWR [L/kg bw/d]	PEC _{dw} [mg a.s./L]	DDD [mg a.s./kg bw/d]	NOEL [mg as/kg bw]	TER _{It,pu} ddle	Trigger
Puddles on soil	0.24	0.14	0.034	10	298	5

Table E.16 shows that the TER_{LT} value from exposure via puddles is above the required trigger of 5 for chronic exposure. Thus, the risk is acceptable.

7.3.2 Secondary poisoning

The risk as a result of secondary poisoning is assessed based on bioconcentration in fish and worms. Examination takes place against the threshold value for chronic exposure of 0.2 times the NOEC value. This means that the TER should be ≥ 5 .

Fish

For Spinosyn A and D a BCF of 114, respectively 115 L/kg is available.

The highest PEC_{water(21)} (taken from paragraph 6.2.1.) amounts 0.1042 µg/L = 0.000104 mg/L.

Indicator species is a 3000-g mammal eating 425 g fresh fish per day.

The TER is then calculated as $NOEL / (PEC_{water(21)} * BCF_{fish} * (FIR/bw)) = 10 / (0.000104 * 115 * 0.142) = >1000$. Since this is > 5 , the risk for mammals as a result of consumption of contaminated fish is considered to be low for all uses.

Earthworms

Since there are no experimental data the bioconcentration factor for earthworms (BCF_{worm}) is calculated according to the following formula: $BCF = (0.84 + 0.01 * Kow) / f_{oc} * K_{oc}$.

The logKow of Spinosad is 4.38 (worst-case value for Spinosyn D); average Koc Spinosyn A is 35024 L/kg, which leads to a BCF_{worm} = 0.344 kg soil/kg worm.

The highest PEC_{soil(21)} (taken from paragraph 6.1.1) amounts 0.078 mg/kg soil (sum of spinosyn A and D).

Indicator species is a 10-g mammal eating 12.8 g fresh worms per day.

The risk is then calculated as $NOEL / PEC_{soil(21)} * BCF_{worm} * (FIR/bw) = 10 / (0.078 * 0.344 * 1.28) = 291$. Since this is > 5 , the risk for mammals as a result of consumption of contaminated worms is considered to be low.

Considering the large margin of safety that is calculated for the active substance, the risk from metabolites in soil and water is considered low as well.

Taking the results for secondary poisoning through fish and earthworms into account, the proposed use meets the standards for secondary poisoning as laid down in the RGB.

Conclusions mammals

The product complies with the RGB.

7.4 Effects on bees

The risk assessment for bees is based on the ratio between the highest single application rate and toxicity endpoint (LD₅₀ value).

An overview of the risk at the proposed uses is given in Table E.17.

Table E.17 Risk for bees

Use	Test substance	LD ₅₀	Single application rate	Rate/LD ₅₀	Trigger value
		[µg/bee]	[g a.s./ha]		
Cherry, plum (worst-case use)	Tracer	0.049 (oral)	96	1959	50
	spinosad	0.0036 (contact)		26667	50

Since the ratio rate/LD₅₀ is >> 50, there is a potentially high risk for bees. Hence, the proposed use does not meet the standards for bees as laid down in the RGB. Several higher tier studies (cage and semi-field) are available (see List of endpoints). These studies show that at the proposed application rates significant adverse effects on honey bees and bumble bees are to be expected.

About field uses the Dar (2001) says the following:

Field applications of NAF-85

The use of NAF-85 in field crops may pose a risk to bees and bumblebees.

- *NAF-85 is applied to wine and table grapes at a maximum rate of 9.6 g as/hL with a spraying volume of 200 – 1000 L/ha or at 0.12 g as/L with a spraying volume of 400 – 600 L/ha. The maximum application rate is thus 72 to 96 g as/ha (equivalent to 0.15 to 0.2 L NAF-85/ha), with a maximum of 5 applications being made at this rate.*
- *NAF-85 is applied to peppers in the field at a maximum rate of 9.6 g as/hL with a spraying volume of 400 – 1200 L/ha. The maximum application rate is thus 115 g as/ha (equivalent to 0.24 L NAF-85/ha), with a maximum of 3 applications being made at this rate.*
- *NAF-85 is applied to tomatoes and aubergines at a maximum rate of 9.6 g as/hL with a spraying volume of 400 – 1500 L/ha. The maximum application rate is thus 144 g as/ha (equivalent to 0.3 L NAF-85/ha), with a maximum of 3 applications being made at this rate.*

The lowest acute contact LD₅₀ is reported to be 0.0036 µg as/bee and the lowest acute oral LD₅₀-value is reported to be 0.049 µg as/bee (when applied as NAF-85).

The hazard quotients for all the proposed uses, based on the above LD₅₀ values are presented in Table B.9.4.3-2. For single applications at the maximum proposed dose for each crop, all hazard quotients (D/LD₅₀) are above the Annex VI trigger of 50, indicating that there is a potential risk to honeybees and bumblebees. In this calculation, repeated application was not taken into account.

To further refine the risk assessment, data have been submitted on the toxicity of NAF-85 to Apis mellifera in a cage trial. At the application rate of 144 g as/ha, no effects on survival, foraging or brood development were found. At the higher application rate of 540 g as/ha, survival was not affected, but foraging activity was significantly reduced. There were also qualitative indications that brood development was reduced. The application rate of 144 g as/ha exceeds the highest proposed field rate for grapes and peppers and is equal to the highest proposed rate for tomatoes and aubergines. However, the test substance was applied only once in the cage test, whereas up to 5 applications of NAF-85 may occur.

Additionally, data have been submitted on the toxicity of NAF-85 to Bombus terrestris in a semi field test at an application rate of 0.36 g as/L. No effect on survival was found in this test, but foraging activity and brood development were reduced by 65 and 16%, respectively. The tested application rate is 3 to 3.8 times higher than the proposed maximum field application rates, but was applied only once. Multiple applications of NAF-85 may occur, and therefore an effect on Bombus terrestris cannot be excluded.

An adequate risk assessment for Apis mellifera and/or Bombus terrestris is considered necessary for all proposed field applications of NAF-85. The possibility of multiple applications and application when bees are flying should be taken into account.

In order to reduce the high risk for bees in the field, exposure must be kept to a minimum with the following restriction sentence:

Gevaarlijk voor bijen. Om de bijen en andere bestuivende insecten te beschermen mag u dit product niet gebruiken op in bloei staande gewassen. Gebruik dit product niet op plaatsen waar bijen actief naar voedsel zoeken. Gebruik dit product niet in de buurt van in bloei staand onkruid. Verwijder onkruid voordat het bloeit.

Furthermore, bees can be exposed off-field, in flowering margins and/or bordering fields. An off-field risk assessment is necessary if the in-field risk assessment indicates a risk. Since the HQ trigger is breached based on the full, in-field, dose, and the risk mitigation sentence only addresses in-field risk, the off-field risk needs to be calculated as well. The same HQ approach is used as for in-field. The off-field exposure is calculated with the drift values used for the off-field risk assessment for other non-target arthropods (see section 7.5). The relevant drift value for the use in orchards and vineyards is 3.9% (DRT90 + 3 m) of the application rate.

Table E.18 Off-field risk to bees for spinosad

Use	Application rate	Drift fraction	Off-field rate	LD ₅₀	HQ (off-field rate/LD ₅₀)	Trigger value
	(g a.s./ha)		(g a.s./ha)	(µg/bee)		
Cherry, plum	96	0.039	3.74	0.0036	1040	50

Table E.18 shows that the HQ values are above 50, indicating that there is a potential risk to bees off-field.

For a recent application for minor uses, the applicant had submitted a semi-field cage study which was performed in South-West France in May-July 2011 to assess the effects of honeybee exposure to a flowering crop of *Phacelia tanacetifolia* treated with spinosad (one application at 3, 6, 12, 24 and 48 g a.s./ha) on mortality, behaviour and colony condition (for a summary and the endpoints see the LoEP). No effects on bee mortality, colony strength, food storage and brood development were recorded. There was a reduction of flight intensity at 24 and 48 g as/ha. Given that the current off-field dose (3.74 g as/ha) fall within the tested lower range of the field test, no off-field effects on bees is expected from the application of Tracer.

The actual state of the art is that semi-field tests are not used to draw a conclusion on brood and colonies, because the observation period is too short. However, the off-feld rate as presented in table E.18 will be much lower, taking into account the strict risk mitigation measures which are necessary for non-target arthropods and aquatic organisms. Techniques from class DRT99 must be applied and the drift% is then reduced to 0.36%. The off-field rate is then 0.35 g a.s./ha. This rate is about a factor of 10 below the lowest rate tested in the semi-field cage study. At this rate the risk the off-field risk to bees is considered acceptable.

Conclusions bees

The proposed uses of the product comply with the RGB.

7.5 Effects on any other organisms (see annex IIIA 10.5-10.8)

7.5.1 Effects on non-target arthropods

The risk for non-target arthropods is assessed by calculating Hazard Quotients. For this, Lethal Rate values (LR₅₀) are needed. Based on LR₅₀-values from studies with the two standard species *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* and *Typhlodromus pyri* an in-field and an off-field Hazard Quotient (HQ) can be calculated according to the assessment method established in the SETAC/ESCORT 2 workshop and described in the HTB (v 1.0). Hazard Quotients should be below the trigger value of 2 to meet the standards. See table E. 19a

Table E.19a HQ-values for *A. rhopalosiphi* and *T. pyri* in-field HQ-based on standard lab studies

	Application rate (kg a.s./ha)	MAF	Drift factor/ Vegetation factor	Safety factor	LR ₅₀ (kg a.s./ha)	HQ
Grapes						
<i>A. rhopalosiphi</i>	0.0384	2.7	-	-	0.000371	279
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.0384	2.7	-	-	0.00854	12.1
Cherry, plum						
<i>A. rhopalosiphi</i>	0.096	1.7	-	-	0.000371	440
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.096	1.7	-	-	0.00854	19.1

All HQ values exceed the trigger value of 2. Hence, a risk is expected.

Therefore a second tier assessment was carried out. In the second tier, lethal and sublethal effects should be < 50% at relevant applications, which means that the HQ should be < 1. The applicant has submitted two extended laboratory studies with *Typhlodromus pyri*. In one study the LR50 was 0.3513 g a.s./ha. In the other study, the residue on the plants was kept under outside conditions. In this study the LR50 was 4.871 g a.s./ha. For *A. rhopalosiphi* an LR50 value of 18.48 g a.s./ha is available from an extended laboratory study. For both *A. rhopalosiphi* and *T. pyri* no effects were found on reproduction.

Table E.19b shows HQ-values.

Table E.19b HQ-values for *A. rhopalosiphi* and *T. pyri* in-field based on extended lab studies

	Application rate (kg a.s./ha)	MAF ¹	Drift factor/ Vegetation factor	Safety factor	LR ₅₀ [*] (kg a.s./ha)	HQ
Grapes						
<i>A. rhopalosiphi</i>	0.0384	2.7	-	-	0.01848	5.6
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.0384	2.7	-	-	0.0003513	295
					0.004871**	21.3
Cherry, plum						
<i>A. rhopalosiphi</i>	0.096	1.7	-	-	0.01848	8.8
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.096	1.7	-	-	0.0003513	465
					0.004871**	33.5

*Reproduction was not affected.

**with photochemical degradation

¹: Multiple Application Factor

Table E.20 HQ-values for *A. rhopalosiphi* and *T. pyri* off-field

	Application rate (kg a.s./ha)	MAF ¹	Drift factor/ Vegetation factor ²	Safety factor ²	LR ₅₀ * (kg a.s./ha)	HQ
Grapes						
<i>A. rhopalosiphi</i>	0.0384	2.7	0.069	5	0.01848	1.9
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.0384	2.7	0.069/5	5	0.0003513	20.4
					0.004871**	1.5
Cherry, plum						
<i>A. rhopalosiphi</i>	0.096	1.7	0.039	5	0.01848	1.7
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.096	1.7	0.039/5	5	0.0003513	18.1
					0.004871**	1.3

*Reproduction was not affected.

**with photochemical degradation

1: Multiple Application Factor

2: off-field: drift factor = 3.9% for orchards (DRT90 + 3 m) and 6.9% for vineyards (DRT75 + 3 m), vegetation dilution factor = 5, not applicable at sprayed plants (i.e. for *A. rhopalosiphi*), safety factor = 5 (default value for extended lab)

"Note on VDF (vegetation distribution factor) for the off-field exposure calculation:

In the Pesticides Peer review Meeting on Recurring Issues on Ecotoxicology held in 2019 (EFSA Supporting publication 2019:EN-1673), the experts agreed that the VDF value should be changed as better data are now available. It was recommended that a VDF value of 5 is applied for all the tiers of the assessment as an interim solution. (This applies to EU active substance dossiers submitted from 7 July 2019 and national product assessment submitted from 1 January 2020.)"

As the above table shows, both in- and off-field HQ values are above the trigger value of 1, indicating a potentially high risk for non-target arthropods.

Refinement in-field

Parasitoids

For *A. rhopalosiphi*, it was shown that the main effects of spinosad is mortality. Reproduction is less sensitive. Also protected life stages seem to be less sensitive. No further field studies are available for *A. rhopalosiphi*, however (semi) field studies are available for *A. colemani*. Laboratory studies showed that this species seem to be even more sensitive than *A. rhopalosiphi*. Refinement for this species is therefore acceptable.

For most of the (semi) field studies, the tested doses cannot be extrapolated to the proposed doses. However an extended laboratory study showed that 4 x 109 g a.s./ha lead to a high mortality, directly after applications, but no effects are found after 14 days aging. This indicates that recovery can take place within a year.

Predatory mites

Several field studies are available for *T. pyri*. In these studies slight effects (but not significant) were found at relevant application rates. The studies show that no long-term effects are to be expected in-field. The field studies in vineyards with *T. pyri* are not acceptable to address the off field risk.

Other arthropods

Information in the RAR showed that other arthropods are less sensitive or as sensitive as *T. pyri*. *Coccinella septempunctata* showed effects < 50% at 320 g a.s./ha in a standard laboratory test, *Crysoperla carnea* showed < 50% effects at 2 x 36 g a.s./ha. In a semi field-study, effect on *Macrolophus caliginosus* was < 50% after 14 days ageing. For *Poecilus cupreus* no effects were found at a relevant application rate of 1 x 540 g a.s./ha.

The applicant further indicates that the DT_{50} is 1.9 days and that therefore the MAF should be 1. However, this DT_{50} is derived from studies performed in California, and are therefore not to be used in current risk assessment, although it is acknowledged that spinosad will dissipate from leaves more quickly than assumed in default values.

Refinement off-field

Field study

For a recent application for minor uses the applicant submitted a non-target arthropod field study (for a summary and endpoints see the LoEP). The product was applied once at 0.375, 1.5, 6, 24 and 96 g a.s./ha to grassland meadow. The No Observed Effect Rate (NOER) and the No Observed Ecologically Adverse Effect Rate (NOEAER) were defined at the community and population level as the rate at which statistically significant adverse responses were observed, but recovery was demonstrated within two months after applications. These results were as follows: community NOER 6 g a.s./ha, community NOEAER 24 g a.s./ha; population NOER 1.5 g a.s./ha, population NOEAER 24 g a.s./ha. For the current request for authorization, the application of the product is multiple times, higher than what was tested in the field study. Furthermore, Ctgb is of the opinion that for reaching a complete recovery in-crop it is very important to accept only no or very minimal effects off-crop. Therefore, according to Ctgb it is appropriate to use the NOER of 1.5 g a.s./ha for the risk assessment. Currently no specific assessment factors are proposed for the mesocosm studies with arthropods. For the current assessment a safety factor of 2 is applied as per the new aquatic GD on tiered RA for edge-of-field surface water EFSA Journal 2013; 11(7): 3290.

By applying this assessment factor the maximum allowable rate off-crop is 0.75 g a.s./ha. For the current application in grapes the off-field rate is 7.2 g a.s./ha. For the use in cherry and plum the off-field rate is 6.4 g a.s./ha. Based on this it can be concluded that the exposure is 9.6 (grapes) respectively 8.5 times (cherry and plum) higher than the maximum allowable rate.

Acceptable off-field rates can be obtained by applying drift mitigation measures. The drift has to be reduced to $6.9/9.6 = 0.72\%$ for the use in grapes and $3.9/8.5 = 0.46\%$ for the uses in cherry and plum to reach an acceptable off-field risk.

Conclusion

Based on all information available, it appears that for in-field short-term effects are to be expected, but that recovery within an acceptable period is possible. However, to address the risk for natural enemies used in integrated pest management, the following warning sentence must be placed on the label:

Let op: dit middel kan schadelijk zijn voor natuurlijke vijanden. Raadpleeg deskundigen (uw leverancier van natuurlijke vijanden, de producent van dit middel, uw adviseur) over het gebruik van dit middel in combinatie met het gebruik van natuurlijke vijanden.

To reach an acceptable off-field risk the following drift reduction measures should be applied for the uses in cherry and plum:

- techniques from class DRT99 (drift = 0.36%); or
- techniques from at least class DRT97.5 in combination with a crop-free zone of 450 cm (drift = 0.22%).

For the use in grapes the following risk mitigation measures are necessary:

- techniques from class DRT95 in combination with a crop-free zone of 450 cm (drift = 0.70%)
- techniques from class DRT97,5 in combination with a crop-free zone of 300 cm* (drift = 0.67%)

*N.B. the crop free zone of 3 meter should be explicitly mentioned on the label for woody soft fruit (including grapes), see Evaluation Manual NL Part Chapter 6 water, version 2.6 (August 2021, entry into force January 2022).

Hence, the following restriction sentences are necessary:

Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/ insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen en pruimen uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik wordt gemaakt van:

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99; of
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.

Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van druiven in percelen die niet grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van:

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT95 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens; of
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.

7.5.2 Soil macro-organisms

Earthworms

The acute risk for earthworms is calculated as TER-value (trigger value 10). Since the logPow of the active substance is > 2, a correction to the reference soil containing 4.7 % organic matter is necessary. Exposure is expressed as the initial PEC soil. PEC soil is calculated in section 6.1.1. Table E.21 presents endpoints, PECsoil and TER values for the use with the highest PIECsoil. Only the risk assessment for use in grapes is shown as it covers all other uses.

Table E.21 Overview of soil concentrations and acute TERs for earthworms

Use	Substance	LC50 _{corr} [mg/kg]	PIEC soil [mg/kg]	TER	Trigger value
Grapes (worst-case use)	Spinosad (sum spinosyn A and D)	>431	0.092	>1000	10
	Spinosyn B	>470	0.054	>1000	
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	>470	0.010	>1000	

In view of the results presented in Table E.22 a low acute risk for earthworms is expected.

In the subchronic risk assessment for earthworms, a long-term TER-value is calculated. Examination of the PIEC takes place against the trigger of 0.2*NOEC. See Table E.22.

Table E.22 Overview of soil concentrations and chronic TERs for earthworms

Use	Substance	NOEC _{corr} [mg/kg]	PIEC soil [mg/kg]	TER	Trigger value
Grapes (worst-case use)	Spinosad (sum spinosyn A and D)	3.6	0.092	39.1	5
	Spinosyn B	1.68	0.054	31.1	
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	0.91	0.01	91	

The TER value for earthworms resulting from exposure to the spinosad (sum of spinosyn A and D), spinosyn B and N-nemethylated spinosyn D meets the trigger. The proposed applications of the product therefore meet the standards as laid down in the RGB.

Other soil macro-organisms

In chronic risk assessment for other soil macro-organisms (*Folsomia candida* and *Hypoaspis aculeifer*), a long-term TER-value is calculated. Examination of the PIEC takes place against the trigger of 0.2*NOEC. See Table E.23.

Table E.23 Overview of soil concentrations and chronic TERs for earthworms for *Folsomia candida* and *Hypoaspis aculeifer*

Use	Substance	NOEC _{corr} [mg/kg]	PIEC soil [mg/kg]	TER	Trigger value
<i>Folsomia candida</i>					
Grapes	Spinosad (sum spinosyn A and D)	6.7	0.092	72.8	5
	Spinosyn B	5	0.054	92.6	
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	2.15	0.01	215	
<i>Hypoaspis aculeifer</i>					
Grapes	Spinosad (sum spinosyn A and D)	2.16	0.092	23.5	5
	Spinosyn B	1.0	0.054	18.5	
	N-demethylated spinosyn D	2.5	0.01	250	

The TER values for *Folsomia candida* and *Hypoaspis aculeifer* resulting from exposure to the spinosad (sum of spinosyn A and D), spinosyn B and N-nemethylated spinosyn D meet the trigger. The proposed applications of the product therefore meet the standards as laid down in the RGB.

7.5.3 Effects on soil micro-organisms

In the tested soils no effects are observed on nitrogen transformation and carbon respiration processes at relevant application rates with the active substance spinosad and its major soil metabolites. Since the reduction percentage is below 25% after 100 days, the standards from the RGB regarding soil micro-organisms are met.

7.5.4 Effects on activated sludge

Article 2.10a of the Plant Protection Products and Biocides Regulations (RGB) describes the authorisation criterion STP.

An IC_{50} value of >100 mg/L is available. The trigger is set to $0.1 \times EC_{50}$ corresponding to 10000 µg/L.

For the proposed use no exposure of activated sludge is expected.

Based on the above, the proposed application complies with the standards for activated sludge as laid down in the RGB.

7.5.5 Effects on non target-plants

For field-uses two studies are available, which are also considered in the RAR (2018):

Given the proposed use of NAF-85 in the field, exposure of non-target flora may be expected.

Two tests are available for a number of species, one with the active substance (at a rate of 227 g XDE-105/ha), and one with the formulation NAF-85 (at a rate of 579 g as/ha). All data concern crop species. Non-crop data were not available. The rate used in the study with NAF-85 is similar to a single maximum dose in the field. All effects (emergence, shoot length and shoot weight) were $<25\%$, so potential risk of spinosad is considered to be low. No further data are required.

Ctgb agrees.

Conclusions any other organisms

The product does comply with the RGB for the aspects earthworms, soil micro-organisms, activated sludge and non-target plants. The product does comply with the aspect non-target arthropods, provided that risk mitigation measures are applied.

7.6 Appropriate ecotoxicological end-points relating to the product and approved uses

See List of End-points.

7.7 Data requirements

-

7.8 Classification and Labelling

-

Based on the current assessment, the following has to be stated in the GAP/legal instructions for use:

In the WG (legal instructions):

Om in het water levende organismen te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen, pruimen en druiven in percelen die grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van een techniek uit de klasse DRT99 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de insteek van de sloot / perceelgrens.

*Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/ insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen en pruimen in percelen die **niet** grenzen aan*

oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik wordt gemaakt van:

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99; of*
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.*

*Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van druiven in percelen die **niet** grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van:*

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT95 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens; of*
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.*

Gevaarlijk voor bijen. Om de bijen en andere bestuivende insecten te beschermen mag u dit product niet gebruiken op in bloei staande gewassen. Gebruik dit product niet op plaatsen waar bijen actief naar voedsel zoeken. Gebruik dit product niet in de buurt van in bloei staand onkruid. Verwijder onkruid voordat het bloeit.

Let op: dit middel kan schadelijk zijn voor natuurlijke vijanden. Raadpleeg deskundigen (uw leverancier van natuurlijke vijanden, de producent van dit middel, uw adviseur) over het gebruik van dit middel in combinatie met het gebruik van natuurlijke vijanden.

7.9 Overall conclusions regarding ecotoxicology

It can be concluded that:

1. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for persistence as laid down in the RGB.
2. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for birds as laid down in the RGB.
3. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad in cherries, plums and grapes meet the standards for aquatic organisms as laid down in the RGB, provided that risk mitigation measures are applied.
4. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad in cherries, plums and grapes meet the standards for sediment organisms as laid down in the RGB, provided that risk mitigation measures are applied.
5. the active substance spinosad meets the standards for bioconcentration as laid down in the RGB.
6. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for mammals as laid down in the RGB.
7. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for bees as laid down in the RGB.
8. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for non-target arthropods as laid down in the RGB, provided that risk mitigation measures are applied.
9. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for earthworms as laid down in the RGB.
10. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for soil micro-organisms as laid down in the RGB.
11. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for activated sludge.

12. the proposed applications of the active substance spinosad meet the standards for non-target plants as laid down in the RGB.

Conclusies vrijstelling

Het risico voor vogels, zoogdieren, bodemmacro- en micro-organismen, en niet-doelwit planten is acceptabel.

Het risico voor waterorganismen is acceptabel met de volgende restrictiezin:

Om in het water levende organismen te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen, pruimen en druiven in percelen die grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van een techniek uit de klasse DRT99 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de insteek van de sloot / perceelgrens.

Het risico voor bijen is acceptabel, mits de volgende restrictiezin op het etiket wordt geplaatst:

Gevaarlijk voor bijen. Om de bijen en andere bestuivende insecten te beschermen mag u dit product niet gebruiken op in bloei staande gewassen. Gebruik dit product niet op plaatsen waar bijen actief naar voedsel zoeken. Gebruik dit product niet in de buurt van in bloei staand onkruid. Verwijder onkruid voordat het bloeit.

Het risico voor niet-doelwit arthropoden is acceptabel, mits de volgende restrictiezinnen en waarschuwingzin op het etiket worden geplaatst:

Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/ insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van kersen en pruimen in percelen die niet grenzen aan watergangen uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik wordt gemaakt van:

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT99; of*
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 cm gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.*

Om niet tot de doelsoorten behorende geleedpotigen/insecten te beschermen is toepassing van het middel in de teelt van druiven in percelen die niet grenzen aan oppervlaktewater uitsluitend toegestaan indien op het gehele perceel gebruik gemaakt wordt van:

- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT95 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 450 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens; of*
- een techniek uit tenminste de klasse DRT97,5 in combinatie met een teeltvrije zone van tenminste 300 centimeter gemeten vanaf het midden van de laatste bomenrij of de laatste boom in de rij tot aan de perceelgrens.*

Let op: dit middel kan schadelijk zijn voor natuurlijke vijanden. Raadpleeg deskundigen (uw leverancier van natuurlijke vijanden, de producent van dit middel, uw adviseur) over het gebruik van dit middel in combinatie met het gebruik van natuurlijke vijanden.